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Far Beyond Primary Poly(vinylamine)s Through Free Radical Copolymerization and Amides Hydrolysis

Mathilde Dréan,a,b Philippe Guégan, b Christine Jérôme, a Jutta Rieger, b* Antoine Debuigne a,b

Due to their affinity for many supports, their pH responsiveness, metal binding capacity and polyelectrolyte complexation, poly(vinylamine) derivatives have attracted attention for many applications including coatings, water purification, or gas membrane separation. Nevertheless, most of them possess only pendant primary amines despite the possible benefits of incorporating different amino groups along the chain. In this work, a straightforward and scalable synthesis route towards polymers bearing primary and secondary amines, as well as imidazole groups, is reported. The general strategy relies on the radical copolymerization of different vinylamides and vinyl imidazole followed by the hydrolysis of the resulting poly(vinylamide) derivatives. Binary and ternary free radical copolymerizations of N-vinylacetamide (NVA), N-methyl vinylacetamide (NMVA) and 1-vinylimidazole (VIm) were investigated and the reactivity ratios for each copolymerization system were determined. Thanks to these values a series of statistical copolymers with predictable composition and low deviation over the chain distribution could then be synthesized. Finally, the acidic hydrolysis of the acetamide functions towards the corresponding amine was performed and optimized. Copolymers containing various pendant amino groups and with low dispersity in the chain composition could be obtained, which opens new perspectives for the above mentioned applications.

Introduction

Poly(vinylamine) (PVAm) is a special and highly valuable macromolecule because it exhibits the highest possible density of pendant primary amines among all vinyl polymers.1 Excellent water solubility, metal binding capacity,2,3 ionization/pH behavior,4 polyelectrolyte complexation,5 and affinity for many supports,6,7 are key properties of PVAm that make it useful for a broad range of applications, such as water purification,8 paper additive and coating applications,9–11 gas membrane separation,12,13 or functionalization of macroscopic and nanoparticle surfaces.6

The huge potential of this polymer has led to intensive research for the development of straightforward synthetic routes towards PVAm and derivatives.1 Because PVAm cannot be prepared from vinylamine due to its low stability and fast conversion into the corresponding imine through tautomeric equilibrium,14 multistep procedures had to be developed (Scheme 1). The Hoffman rearrangement of a polyacrylamide precursor15 successfully yielded polymers with a high density of pendant primary amines but this approach suffers from producing non-negligible amounts of side products, such as carboxyl and urea groups along the chain.16 In this respect, the radical polymerization of N-vinylamides, mainly N-vinylformamide (NVF)15–19 or N-vinylacetamide (NVA)20,21 with subsequent hydrolysis of the amides groups is “cleaner” and more straightforward. Optimal conversion of PNVF into PVAm can be achieved upon basic treatment,17,18 whereas high level of hydrolysis of the amide functions of PNVA was reached under acidic conditions.20 Except for the cost of the monomer (N-vinylphthalimide), hydrazinolysis of poly(N-vinylphthalimide) (NVPI) is another interesting route towards PVAm.22

Scheme 1. Synthetic routes towards poly(vinylamine).

In order to meet the needs of today’s applications, it is crucial to adjust the properties of PVAm and to provide it with additional functionalities by incorporating supplementary chemical moieties in its backbone. So far, the functionalization of PVAm is largely dominated by post-polymerization derivatization strategies arising from the ease of coupling amines with reactive molecules.1 In this way, several chemical groups have been successfully incorporated by post-treatment of PVAm including fluorinated23 and other hydrophobic groups,24 sugars,25,26 antibodies,27 dyes,28 nucleic
acids. Another strategy for derivatizing PVAm consists in the radical copolymerization of vinyl amides with selected comonomers followed by the hydrolysis of the amides. For example, NVF was copolymerized with vinylesters, acrylates or acrylic amides, followed by acid or basic treatment leading to the corresponding polyvinylamine-based copolymers. Although straightforward, this approach requires a perfect knowledge of the comonomer reactivity ratios and close examination of the fate of the comonomer units during hydrolysis. Nevertheless, despite the possible benefits of incorporating various amino groups along the polymer chain, poly(vinylamine)-based copolymers are generally restricted to pendant primary amines. Examples of synthesis of poly(N-methyl vinylamine) (PMVAm) from poly(N-methyl vinylacetamide) (PNMVA) are scarce due to the difficulties of hydrolyzing secondary amines. In reasonable timescales (< 3 days), only 44% conversion of amides was achieved using HCl 4N at 100°C leading to random amines/amides containing copolymers.

This work reports a simple synthetic approach to move on from simple primary poly(vinylamine)s towards novel copolymers bearing large and predictable amounts of pendant primary and secondary amines as well as imidazole. The two-step strategy, depicted in Scheme 2, consists in the binary or ternary free radical copolymerization of NVA, NMVA and/or 1-vinylimidazole (VIm), followed by acidic hydrolysis. Special attention was paid to the determination of the comonomers reactivity ratios by the Fineman-Ross (FR), Kelen-Tudos (KT) and the non-linear least square fitting curve (NL) methods. The Skeist’s model was also used for controlling the chemical composition of the copolymers which changes with the monomer conversion. Based on the reactivity ratios, we also prepared a series of P(NVA-stat-NMVA-stat-VIm) terpolymers whose composition could be precisely predicted according to the Alfrey and Goldfinger’s equation. Finally, an efficient hydrolysis procedure was applied in order to convert efficiently the N-methyl vinylacetamide into secondary amines and produce the targeted poly(vinyl amine) copolymers.

Scheme 2. General strategy for the synthesis of poly(vinylamine)-copolymers.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials.** N-vinylacetamide (NVA) (> 98%, TCI) was used as received. N-methylvinylacetamide (NMVA) (> 98% Aldrich) and 1-vinylimidazole (VIm) (> 99%, Aldrich) were purified by distillation under reduced pressure. Methanol was degassed by bubbling argon for 30 min. 2,2’-Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was used as received. Dialysis membranes (cut off 1kDa) were purchased from VWR.

**Characterization.** The (co)polymers were characterized by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) at 55°C in dimethylformamide (DMF) containing LiBr (0.025M) using a flow rate at 1 mL/min. SEC curves were recorded with a Waters chromatograph equipped with three columns (Waters Styragel at 100 Å (× 2), 30 Å), a dual λ absorbance detector (Waters 2487) and a refractive index detector (Waters 2414). Multi-angle laser light scattering (MALLS) measurements were performed with a Dawn Helos apparatus (Wyatt Technology) to determine the absolute average number molar masses (Mn) and average weight molar masses (Mw). Data were processed with Astra V Software (Wyatt technology). In this case, dn/dc values were measured by refractometry analysis using a Wyatt Optilab rEX refractive index detector (λ = 658 nm). Mn and molar mass dispersions (D) were also determined using a polystyrene calibration.

The poly(vinylamine) (PNVAm) and poly(N-methyl vinylamine) (PNMVAm) homopolymers were analyzed by SEC in water containing NaCl (0.1 M) and TFA (0.1%) at 30°C (flow rate: 1ml/min) using a Waters SEC equipped with a pre-column (PSS NOVEMA Max analytical 10 micron, 8.0×50 mm) and a linear column (PSS NOVEMA Max analytical linear S micron 8.0×300 mm). Poly(2-vinylpyridine) (P2VP) standards were used to generate the calibration plot.

Infrared-spectra were recorded with a Thermo Scientific Nicolet iS5 apparatus.

1H NMR spectra were recorded at 298K with Burkert spectrometer (400MHz) and treated with MestraNova software. Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation (HSQC) spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Bruker Avance (500 MHz) instrument in D_{2}O chemical shifts are reported in δ values (ppm) relative to internal TMS. 2D Spectra were treated with TopSpin. Elementary analyses were carried out by Service de Microanalyse ICSN - CNRS (Gif sur Yvette, France) to determine the C/N ratios in the copolymers before and after acidic treatment in order to calculate the hydrolysis level of the amide functions.

**General copolymerization procedure for reactivity ratios determination.** For each pair of comonomers (NVA-NMVA, NVA-VIm and NMVA-VIm), at least seven copolymerization experiments were carried out under the same conditions with various feed compositions (molar fraction (f) ranging from 0.05 and 0.95) (See Table S1). Typically, copolymerizations were performed under argon in a Schlenck tube by dissolving V70 (0.02 equivalents compared to the comonomers) and various amounts of comonomers (NVA, NMVA and VIm) in MeOD (8.8 mmol of comonomers/mL of MeOD) containing traces of dimethylsulfoxide (V_{DMSO}/V_{MeOD} = 0.05). MeOD was used as solvent in order to easily evaluate the initial and instantaneous composition of the mixture by 1H NMR whereas small quantities of DMSO served as internal calibration for monitoring the monomer conversion along the polymerization. The reaction medium was heated at 30°C and the polymerization was stopped below 20% of monomer conversion. The copolymers were purified by precipitation (in acetone for P(NVA-stat-VIm) and P(NVA-stat-NMVA) and in diethyl ether for P(NMVAm-stat-VIm), collected by filtration and dried under vacuum at 40 °C. The molar fraction of each comonomer in the copolymer (F) was determined by 1H NMR in MeOD. Polymerization time, conversion, initial feed composition and copolymer composition are presented in Table S1. These data were used to determine the reactivity ratios according to the Fineman-Ross (FR), Kelen-Tudos (KT), Extended Kelen-Tudos (EKT) and the non-linear (NL) least square fitting curve methods (see Table 1). Compositional drifts were also evaluated according to the Skeist’s equation.
Synthesis of P(NVA\textsubscript{4.2g-stat-NMVA\textsubscript{3.2}}). NVA (5.75 g, 67.5 mmol) and V70 (416 mg, 1.3 mmol) were placed under argon and dissolved in degassed methanol (15 mL) before addition of distilled and degassed NMVA (7 mL, 6.7 g, 67.7 mmol). The reaction mixture \((f_{\text{NVA}} = 0.50, f_{\text{NMVA}} = 0.50)\) was heated at 30°C for 30 minutes and the polymerization was stopped at low global molar monomer conversion (32%). The copolymer was first purified by precipitation in acetone, filtrated and dried under vacuum. Then, the copolymer was solubilized in water and purified by dialysis (membrane cut off 1kDa) against water for three days before lyophilization. \(P(\text{NVA-stat-NMVA})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\) (membrane cut off 1kDa) against pure water for three days. After lyophilization, \(P(\text{NVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\). (See Table 3)

Synthesis of P(NVA\textsubscript{4.2g-stat-VIm\textsubscript{3.4}}). NVA (2.67 g, 31.4 mmol) and V70 (165 mg, 0.5 mmol) were placed under argon in a flask, dissolved in degassed methanol (6 mL) and added with distilled and degassed 1-VIm (1.9 mL, 1.98 g, 21.0 mmol). The polymerization medium \((f_{\text{NVA}} = 0.59, f_{\text{VIm}} = 0.41)\) was heated at 30°C for 30 minutes (total molar monomer conversion measured by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O = 21%. The copolymer was first purified by precipitation in acetone, filtrated and dried under vacuum. Then, the copolymer was solubilized in water and purified by dialysis (membrane cut off 1kDa) against water for three days before lyophilization. \(P(\text{NVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\) (membrane cut off 1kDa) against pure water for three days. After lyophilization, \(P(\text{NVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\). (See Table 3)

Synthesis of P(NMVA\textsubscript{2.5g-stat-VIm\textsubscript{2.5}}). V70 (416 mg, 1.3 mmol) was placed under argon in a flask, dissolved in degassed methanol (15 mL) followed by addition with a syringe of distilled and degassed NMVA (10.5 mL, 10.07 g, 101.6 mmol) and 1-VIm (3 mL, 3.12 g, 33.7 mmol). The reaction mixture \((f_{\text{NMVA}} = 0.73, f_{\text{VIm}} = 0.27)\) was then heated at 30°C for 2h (total monomer conversion measured by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O = 29%). The copolymer was first purified by precipitation in diethyl ether, filtrated and dried under vacuum. Next, the copolymer was solubilized in water and purified by dialysis (membrane cut off 1kDa) against water for three days before lyophilization. \(P(\text{NMVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\) (membrane cut off 1kDa) against pure water for three days before lyophilization. \(P(\text{NMVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\) (membrane cut off 1kDa) against pure water for three days before lyophilization. \(P(\text{NMVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\). (See Table 3)

Synthesis of P(NVA\textsubscript{4.8g-stat-NMVA\textsubscript{3.2}}). V70 (416 mg, 1.3 mmol) was placed under argon in a flask, dissolved in degassed methanol (15 mL) followed by addition with a syringe of distilled and degassed NMVA (10.5 mL, 10.07 g, 101.6 mmol) and 1-VIm (3 mL, 3.12 g, 33.7 mmol). The reaction mixture \((f_{\text{NMVA}} = 0.65, f_{\text{VIm}} = 0.35)\) was then heated at 30°C for 2h (total monomer conversion measured by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O = 28%). The copolymer was first purified by precipitation in acetone, filtrated and dried under vacuum. Then, the copolymer was solubilized in water and dialyzed (membrane cut off 1kDa) against water for 3 days before lyophilization. \(P(\text{NMVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\) (membrane cut off 1kDa) against pure water for three days before lyophilization. \(P(\text{NMVA-stat-VIm})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS, and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\). (See Table 3)

Synthesis of P(NVAm\textsubscript{3.4g-stat-VIm\textsubscript{5.6}}). V70 (302 mg, 1 mmol) was placed under argon and dissolved in degassed methanol (6 mL). The reaction was heated at 30°C for 45 minutes (total monomer conversion measured by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O = 35%). The polymer was purified by precipitation in acetone, filtrated and dried under vacuum before dissolution in water and dialysis (membrane cut off 1kDa) against pure water for two days. After lyophilization, \(P(\text{NMVA})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\) (membrane cut off 1kDa) against pure water for two days. After lyophilization, \(P(\text{NMVA})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, SEC-MALLS and \(\Delta F\text{NMR}\). (See Table 3)

Synthesis of PNVA. V70 (416 mg, 1.35 mmol) was placed under argon in a flask, dissolved in degassed methanol (14 mL) followed by addition with a syringe of distilled and degassed NMVA (14 mL, 13.4 g, 135 mmol). The reaction mixture was then heated at 30°C for 4h (total monomer conversion measured by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O = 14%). The polymer was then purified by precipitation in diethyl ether, filtrated and dried under vacuum before dissolution in water and dialysis (membrane cut off 1kDa) against water for two days. After lyophilization, \(P(\text{NMVA})\) was collected as a white solid and characterized by \(^1\)H NMR in D_{2}O, IR and EA. Hydrolysis yields were determined by EA comparing the C/N content ratio in the (co)polymer before and after acidic treatment. All results are presented in Table 3. Aqueous SEC experiments were also performed on the PNVA and PNPMVAn samples. In addition, the hydrolysis of PNVA and PNPMVAn was also tested under milder conditions (5% w/v, 2N HCl, 120°C, 14h).

Results and Discussion

Binary copolymerization

The synthesis of copolymers bearing various pendant amines starts with the free radical copolymerization of the vinylamides, NVA, NMVA and VIm (see Scheme 2). In order to predict the monomer composition in the final copolymers and the copolymer sequence along the backbone, we investigated the free radical copolymerization of these comonomers in pairwise combinations and measured their reactivity ratios. For this purpose, at least seven copolymerization experiments were conducted for each binary system with different feed compositions ranging from 0.10 to 0.95 (Table S1). Typically, copolymerizations were performed at 30°C in MeOD using V70 as radical initiator. A deuterated solvent was used in order to determine precisely the initial comonomer feed by \(^1\)H NMR and to monitor the conversion by following the loss of intensity of the olefinic protons of each monomer. Low monomer conversions (< 20 %) were targeted to prevent significant composition drift. After purification of the copolymers, their compositions were analyzed by \(^1\)H NMR. Representative spectra of \(P(\text{NVA-stat-NMVA})\), \(P(\text{NVA-stat-VIm})\) and \(P(\text{NMVA-stat-VIm})\) are provided in Figure S1. The molar fractions of the comonomers in the copolymer \((F)\) were then plotted as a function of their molar fraction in the feed \((f)\) and fitted with the Mayo-Lewis equation (Figure 1).
Based on these data, the reactivity ratios of each monomer pair were measured by different methods. First, the classical Fineman-Ross calculation was used (eq.1).\textsuperscript{37} For all copolymerizations, we obtained straight lines whose slope and intercept with the ordinate (Y-axes) corresponds to \( r_1 \) and \(-r_2\), respectively (Figure 2A). Reactivity ratio values are presented in Table 1.

\[
f(F-1)/F = r_1 (F/F) - r_2,
\]

where \( f = f_1/f_2 \) and \( F = F_1/F_2 \).

In addition, a second linearization method, proposed by Kelen and Tudos,\textsuperscript{38} was tested. The latter involves parameters \( \eta \) and \( \zeta \), mathematical functions of the mole ratios in the monomer feed \( (f) \) and in the copolymer \( (F) \) and of a parameter \( \alpha \) calculated on the basis of the lowest and highest values of \((F/F)\). Figure 2B shows linear plots of \( \eta \) as a function of \( \zeta \) leading to \( r_1 \) and \( -r_2/\alpha \) via the intercepts at \( \zeta = 1 \) and \( \zeta = 0 \), respectively.

\[
\eta = (r_1 + (r_2/\alpha) \zeta - (r_2/\alpha) \zeta = (f(F-1)) / (F (\alpha + (F/F))) ; \quad \zeta = (F/F) / (\alpha + (F/F)) ; \quad \alpha = ((F/F)_{\text{max}} \times (F/F)_{\text{min}})^{0.5}.
\]

Finally, reactivity ratios were determined by a non-linear least squares fitting curve\textsuperscript{39,40} method according to the Mayo-Lewis equation (eq. 3) in order to avoid inaccuracy inherent to the linearization process. The fitting curves are presented for each comonomer pair in Figure 1 and the resulting \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) values calculated accordingly are presented with those obtained by the FR and KT methods in Table 1.

\[
F_1 = (r_1 f_1^2 + f_1 f_2) / (r_1 f_1^2 + 2 f_1 f_2 + r_2 f_2^2)
\]

Although monomer conversions are low, the Extended Kelen-Tudos (EKT)\textsuperscript{41} was also considered in order to take into account the possible composition drift along the polymerization (Table S2 and Figure S2) but very similar reactivity ratios were obtained as compared to the three other methods (compare Table 1 and Table S2).

**Table 1.** Calculated monomer reactivity ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>NVA-NMVA</th>
<th>NVA-VIm</th>
<th>NMVA-VIm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( r_{\text{NVA}}/\text{NMVA} )</td>
<td>( r_{\text{NMVA}}/\text{NVA} )</td>
<td>( r_{\text{NVA}}/\text{VIm} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fineman-Ross</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelen-Tudos</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Considering the important differences in reactivity ratios, the comonomers are consumed at different rates in the copolymerizations, and consequently the monomer feed composition changes significantly in the course of the copolymerization. As chains are created and terminate continuously in free radical polymerization, this results in an inhomogeneity in composition of the chains distribution. In order to get a good compositional picture of the copolymer distribution, the instantaneous and the cumulative copolymer compositions were predicted on the whole range of the monomer conversion with the Skeist’s model (SK) (eq. 4) using the average reactivity ratios determined beforehand. The cumulative composition is given by equation 5.

\[
\text{Conv} = 1 - \frac{M_0}{M} = \left( \frac{f_0}{f_1} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f_1}{f_2} \right)^\beta \left[ \left( \frac{f_2}{f_1} - \delta \right) / \left( f_1^\alpha - \delta \right) \right]^\gamma
\]

where \( M_0 \) and \( M \) are the initial and the instantaneous monomer concentration, \( f_0 \) and \( f_1 \) correspond to the initial and the instantaneous mole fraction in the feed and \( \alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma \) are defined as follows: \( \alpha = r_2 / (1 - r_2) \); \( \beta = r_1 / (1 - r_1) \); \( \gamma = (1 - r_1 r_2) / (1 - r_1) (1 - r_2) \); \( \delta = (1 - r_1) / (2 - r_1 - r_2) \)

\[
F_{\text{cumul}} = (f_1^\circ - f_1 (1 - \text{conv.})) / \text{conv.}
\]

As an illustration, Figure 3 shows the evolution of the instantaneous feed and copolymer composition as well as the cumulative copolymer composition as a function of the overall conversion when starting from a near-stoichiometric comonomer feed composition. All copolymerizations were performed at 30 °C in methanol and samples were withdrawn regularly from the medium along the polymerization. The cumulative copolymer compositions measured by \(^1\text{H} \) NMR at different conversions fitted well with the predicted cumulative composition curve (eq. 5), which confirms the validity of the model and the determined reactivity ratios. For the NVA-NMVA and NMVA-VIm copolymerizations, the instantaneous composition changes only slightly up to 25% of monomer conversion, but then evolves drastically. In contrast, the instantaneous composition of the P(NVA-stat-VIm) copolymers changes little up to almost 75% of conversion. This model thus provides important information on the polymer sequence, particularly on the homogeneity of the composition over the chain distribution that is worth to be taken into account when considering the synthesis of this type of copolymers. Interestingly, in case of a controlled radical copolymerization (CRP), a composition drift of the feed during the polymerization leads to gradient copolymers showing an evolution of their composition along the backbone. Since reactivity ratios are often very similar for conventional and controlled radical copolymerizations performed under the same experimental conditions, the compositional drifts determined above by the Skeist’s method are also useful to predict the gradient composition of the same copolymers prepared by controlled radical polymerization techniques. Of course, such calculations could be performed for any initial comonomer feed ratio in order to anticipate and prevent significant inhomogeneity in composition of the chains. It is important to note that, controlling the (co)polymerization of N-vinylamides and VIm remains hitherto quite a challenge. As an example, the organometallic-mediated radical polymerization (OMRP) was proved to be very efficient for controlling the polymerization of several N-vinylamides,\(^{44-47}\) except NVA, whereas the OMRP of VIm has not been reported yet. To the best of our knowledge, no CRP method can manage the simultaneous control of these three monomers yet.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonlinear</th>
<th>1.98</th>
<th>0.33</th>
<th>0.35</th>
<th>0.74</th>
<th>0.27</th>
<th>1.65</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Instantaneous mole fraction of monomer in the feed (\( f_{\text{inst}} \)) as well as instantaneous (\( F_{\text{inst}} \)) and cumulative (\( F_{\text{cumul}} \)) mole fractions in the copolymer vs. the overall molar monomer conversion calculated based on Skeist’s model when starting from near equimolar mixtures of comonomers. Experimental data of the
average copolymer composition (●, ■) were determined by $^1$H NMR.

**Ternary copolymerization**

After studying the binary copolymerization systems, we investigated the terpolymerization of NVA, NMVA and VIm under similar experimental conditions. Copolymerizations were initiated with V-70 in methanol at 30°C and the monomer conversions were kept below 20%. Table 2 compares the actual and theoretical copolymer compositions for different comonomer feed compositions. The predicted compositions were calculated based on the Alfrey-Goldfinger (AG) equation (eq. 6), using the average reactivity ratios summarized in Table 1.

$$d[M_1]:d[M_2]:d[M_3] = \left( \frac{[M_1]}{[M_2]} + \frac{[M_2]}{[M_3]} + \frac{[M_3]}{[M_1]} \right)^{\alpha}$$

Generally, the compositions of the terpolymers measured by $^1$H NMR were close to the prediction. The slight discrepancies between the values might be due to complex penultimate effects that are not taken into account in the used theories. Nevertheless, the prediction tool is valid and of practical importance for this ternary copolymerization. Moreover, the data collected in Table 2 confirms the trends observed in the binary systems: VIm and NMVA are the most and the least reactive monomers, respectively. Overall, the compositional prediction and thus control of the P(NVAm-stat-NMVA-stat-VIm) terpolymers is now possible. Indeed, comonomer reactivity ratios combined with the AG model allow determining the amount of each comonomer to be introduced in the initial monomer feed in order to target a tercopolymers with a specific composition. Overall, this study makes the synthesis of such copolymers highly efficient and paves the way for further innovation based thereon.

**Hydrolysis of poly(vinylamide) derivatives.**

The hydrolysis of poly(N-vinylamide)s has been previously investigated in the literature as a route to the corresponding pendant amine polymers used in many industrial applications. Among the PVAm precursors, PNVA is certainly the most popular because of the ease of hydrolysis of the formamide moieties. Nevertheless, as reported by Akashi et al., near complete removal of the acetamide groups of PNVA can also be achieved by hydrochloric treatment (HCl 2N) at 120°C for 12h. In contrast, the conversion of PNVMA into the corresponding pendant secondary amine polymer is more difficult and requires extremely long reaction times (around 150 h). For shorter timescales (60 h) only moderate hydrolysis of PNVMA (44%) was achieved upon treatment of PNVMA with HCl 4N at 100°C. In order to produce polymers with high amine contents, we first optimized the hydrolysis conditions of PNVA and PNVMA homopolymers prior to investigating the transformation of the binary and ternary copolymers prepared in the former section. Two sets of hydrolysis conditions were tested. The first method is similar to the one reported by Akashi et al., i.e. HCl 2 N/120°C/14h. Secondly, harsher conditions were considered (HCl 6 N/120°C/64 h). The latter are inspired by the hydrolysis method reported by Okatova et al. (4N/120°C/60 h) using prolonged reaction time and a higher acid concentration except for that we increased the hydrochloric acid concentration to 6 N in order to reach beyond the 44% of hydrolysis yield reported for the PNVMA.

In all cases, the copolymer concentration in the HCl solution was rather low (5-7% w/v). For PNVAm, both procedures afforded high levels of hydrolysis, as suggested by the disappearance of signal c at 2.0 ppm corresponding to the methyl protons of the acetamide group on the $^1$H NMR spectra of the purified product (Figure 4, left spectra). In agreement with previously reported NMR characterization of PVAm, a shift of the methylene protons from 1.6 ppm (a) to 2.0 ppm (a’) was observed upon hydrolysis. It is worth mentioning that the amine proton resonance cannot be detected because of rapid proton exchange with D2O. The conversion of PVAm into PNVAm was quantified by elemental analysis (EA) by comparison of the C/N content ratio in polymer before and after acidic treatment (Table S3). This comparative method based on the C/N ratio rather than on absolute C or N mass percentages prevents mistakes inherent to the hydroscopic character of these polymers. PNVAm hydrolysis rate as high as 90% and 94% were recorded, when using the milder (HCl 2N, 120°C, 14h) and the harsher (HCl 6N, 120°C, 64h) hydrolytic conditions, respectively (Table 3, entry 1). In other words, no significant difference in the PNVAm hydrolysis is observed for the two sets of experiments.

**Figure 4. $^1$H NMR of the PNVA (left) and PNVMA (right) before and after acidic hydrolysis and purification by dialysis under various conditions. Spectra were recorded at 298K in D2O.**

| Table 2. Experimental and theoretical compositions of ternary copolymers. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Feed composition*      | Measuredf             | Calculatedf            |
| $f_{NVA}$ | $f_{NMVA}$ | $f_{VIm}$ | $F_{NVA}$ | $F_{NMVA}$ | $F_{VIm}$ | $F_{NVA}$ | $F_{NMVA}$ | $F_{VIm}$ |
| 0.19      | 0.64           | 0.17           | 0.32      | 0.34           | 0.34           | 0.29      | 0.34           | 0.37           |
| 0.33      | 0.31           | 0.35           | 0.40      | 0.07           | 0.53           | 0.37      | 0.12           | 0.51           |
| 0.36      | 0.54           | 0.10           | 0.50      | 0.26           | 0.24           | 0.51      | 0.24           | 0.25           |
| 0.41      | 0.58           | 0.01           | 0.65      | 0.33           | 0.02           | 0.69      | 0.27           | 0.03           |
Polymerization at 30 °C. 4 Determined by 1H NMR of the reaction mixture at t₀ in MeOD. 5 Determined by 1H NMR in MeOD of the isolated terpolymers. 6 Calculated by the Alfrey and Goldfinger’s equation.

Table 3. Hydrolysis of the poly(N-vinylamide)-based copolymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Initial copolymer composition</th>
<th>Hydrolysis level (%)</th>
<th>Hydrolyzed copolymer composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F₀NVA</td>
<td>F₀NMVA</td>
<td>F₀VIm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrolysis conditions: HCl 6N / 120 °C / 64 h. 4 Determined by 1H NMR in D₂O. 5 Determined by elementary analysis (see Table S3 for raw data and calculations). 6 Calculated by taking into account the initial composition determined by 1H NMR and the hydrolysis level determined by elementary analysis. * set to 94% according to the result for PNVA homopolymer.

Conclusion

The two-step strategy developed here is particularly efficient for the preparation of pendant primary, secondary amines and/or imidazole bearing copolymers with predictable composition. The latter consists in the synthesis of N-vinylamides and N-vinylimidazole-containing copolymers followed by the hydrolysis of the pendant amide moieties. The free radical copolymerization of NVA, NMVA and/or VIm was studied first and the reactivity ratios of each binary system were measured by different methods, i.e. Fineman-Ross, the regular and extended Kelen-Tudos as well as the nonlinear approach. The four calculation methods provided similar reactivity ratios, which demonstrates the accuracy of the values. Although these reactivity ratios were determined with a free radical polymerization system, these data could also be very helpful for future investigations aiming at controlling the molecular parameters and architectures of the copolymers through living/controlled radical copolymerization mechanisms. The reactivity of the monomers was determined to be in the following order: VIm > NVA > NMVA. The composition drift phenomenon was also evaluated by the Skeist’s model and was found significant for all copolymerizations when high monomer conversions were targeted. However, stopping the copolymerizations at moderate monomer conversion allowed preventing a strong inhomogeneity of the chemical composition over the chain distribution. The compositions of the P(NVA-stat-NMVAm-stat-VIm) terpolymers were also successfully predicted with the Alfrey–Goldfinger equations on the basis of the reactivity ratios determined from the binary copolymerizations. A good agreement was found between the predicted and the experimentally determined values. Thanks to this copolymerization in-depth study, a series of statistical binary and ternary copolymers with known compositions were prepared and treated with acid in order to generate the pendant primary and/or secondary amine derivatives. Optimization of the hydrolysis conditions notably allowed reaching high levels of conversion of the N-methyl acetamide moieties within manageable reaction times, which is essential for the design of copolymers containing high densities of pendant secondary amines. Overall, we demonstrated the efficiency of this method for the preparation of statistical amine-rich copolymers containing primary and secondary as well as imidazole functions in adjustable proportions. These achievements represent a great opportunity to finely tune the properties of PVAm, in order to improve their applications and to develop new ones. Indeed, the introduction of secondary amines and/or imidazole group along the PVAm backbone will undoubtedly modify its degree of ionization depending on pH, its metal/macromolecule complexation ability, and surface adhesion.
capacity, which are key properties of this class of materials. For example, micro- and nanohydrogels\(^8\) formed with such unrivaled polyamines should exhibit unique pH-responsiveness\(^{30,51}\) that could be tuned accurately for drug release applications by adjusting the content of each pendant amino group. In addition, the presence of various amines and imidazole with specific metal binding capacities along the same polymer backbone opens new opportunities notably in the field of water purification.\(^{22,53}\) Previous studies also evidenced the antibacterial properties of PVAm derivatives\(^5\) and polyvinylimidazole\(^4\), as well as the dependence of the antimicrobial efficiency on the charge density and hydrophobicity.\(^{54}\) Finally, these novel polyamines might also outperform primary PVAm in applications like paper strengthening or coating applications\(^{9,11}\). In other words, much can be expected from this poly(vinylamine) based synthetic platform.
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