

Historical biogeography of the highly diverse brown seaweed Lobophora (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae)

Christophe Vieira, Olga Camacho, Zhongmin Sun, Suzanne Fredericq, Frederik Leliaert, Claude Payri, Olivier de Clerck

▶ To cite this version:

Christophe Vieira, Olga Camacho, Zhongmin Sun, Suzanne Fredericq, Frederik Leliaert, et al.. Historical biogeography of the highly diverse brown seaweed Lobophora (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 2017, 110, pp.81 - 92. 10.1016/j.ympev.2017.03.007. hal-01535635

HAL Id: hal-01535635 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01535635

Submitted on 9 Jun 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Historical biogeography of the highly diverse brown seaweed Lobophora
- 2 (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae)

- 5 Christophe Vieira^{a,b,c}*, Olga Camacho^d, Zhongmin Sun^e, Suzanne Fredericq^d, Frederik
- 6 Leliaert^{b,f}, Claude Payri^a, Olivier De Clerck^b

7

- 8 ^a ENTROPIE (IRD, UR, CNRS), LabEx-CORAIL, Institut de Recherche pour le
- 9 Développement, B.P. A5, 98848, Nouméa Cedex, Nouvelle-Calédonie, France
- 10 b Phycology Research Group and Center for Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution,
- 11 Ghent University, Gent, Belgium, B-9000
- 12 ° Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, IFD, PARIS, France, F75252
- d Department of Biology, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette LA 70504-
- 14 3602, USA
- 15 ^e Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Department of Marine
- 16 Organism Taxonomy and Phylogeny
- 17 f Botanic Garden Meise, 1860 Meise, Belgium
- * Correspondence: Christophe Vieira, E-mail: cvcarp@gmail.com

19

- 20 Submission to MPE as an Original Article
- 21 **Running title**: Present and historical biogeography of *Lobophora*.

22

23 Highlights

- Lobophora global species diversity is estimated to be >100 species.
- We provide a multi-locus time-calibrated molecular phylogeny for *Lobophora*.
- Ancestral range reconstruction suggests *Lobophora* originated in the Tethys
- Sea.
- Lobophora molecular phylogeny revealed an upper Cretaceous origin.
- Within marine realms speciation and founder events were significant processes.

31

- 32 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
- 33 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ABSTRACT

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

The tropical to warm-temperate marine brown macroalgal genus Lobophora (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) recently drew attention because of its striking regional diversity. In this study we reassess Lobophora global species diversity, and species distributions, and explore how historical factors have shaped current diversity patterns. We applied a series of algorithmic species delineation techniques on a global mitochondrial cox3 dataset of 598 specimens, resulting in an estimation of 98 to 121 species. This diversity by far exceeds traditional diversity estimates based on morphological data. A multi-locus time-calibrated species phylogeny using a relaxed molecular clock, along with DNA-confirmed species distribution data was used to analyse ancestral area distributions, dispersal-vicariance-founder events, and temporal patterns of diversification under different biogeographical models. The origin of Lobophora was estimated in the Upper Cretaceous (-75 to -60 MY), followed by gradual diversification until present. While most speciation events were inferred within marine realms, founder events also played a non-negligible role in Lobophora diversification. The Central Indo-Pacific showed the highest species diversity as a result of higher speciation events in this region. Most Lobophora species have small ranges limited to marine realms. Lobophora probably originated in the Tethys Sea and dispersed repeatedly in the Atlantic (including the Gulf of Mexico) and Pacific Oceans. The formation of the major historical marine barriers (Terminal Tethyan event, Isthmus of Panama, Benguela upwelling) did not act as important vicariance events. Long-distance dispersal presumably represented an important mode of speciation over evolutionary time-scales. The limited geographical ranges of most Lobophora species, however, vouch for the rarity of such events.

- 59 **Keywords** Algorithmic species estimation; ancestral area reconstruction; historical
- 60 biogeography; *Lobophora*; molecular dating.

1. Introduction

61

62 A good understanding of species diversity is essential for addressing biogeographical 63 questions. Recent studies addressing the magnitude of eukaryotic diversity of 64 terrestrial and marine systems (Appeltans et al., 2012; Costello et al., 2013; Mora et 65 al., 2011; Scheffers et al., 2012; Sweetlove, 2011) have highlighted the large 66 uncertainty in global species diversity, with global species diversity estimates ranging 67 between 2 and 50 million species. In addition, the application of DNA markers to 68 delineate species (DNA taxonomy (DNA taxonomy; Blaxter, 2004) disclosed levels 69 of cryptic species diversity, which in many cases outnumber traditionally recognized 70 species by a factor 10 (Adams et al., 2014). Failure to recognize cryptic species not 71 only results in underestimation of species diversity, but may also have significant 72 consequences for the interpretation of macroevolutionary patterns and for species 73 conservation (Agapow et al., 2004; Bickford et al., 2007). Recent estimates of global 74 biodiversity, however, did not take into account the magnitude of cryptic species (but 75 see Appeltans et al., 2012), which is likely to be common in many organismal groups 76 (Adams et al., 2014; Pfenninger and Schwenk, 2007). Algae represents a group for 77 which the magnitude of diversity remains highly uncertain (De Clerck et al., 2013; 78 Guiry, 2012). Several regional case studies demonstrated that algal species diversity 79 could be up-scaled with one or two orders of magnitude (e.g. Evans et al., 2007; 80 Leliaert et al., 2014; Payo et al., 2013; Saunders, 2008; Stiller and Waaland, 1993), 81 recognizing the high level of cryptic species in this group (but several studies did not 82 unveil a lot of cryptic species). 83 Next to the uncertainty on diversity estimates, it is unclear how local diversity 84 estimates translate into global diversity. Estimations of the global diversity of any 85 given group require insights in the geographical structuring of species diversity. High

86 local diversity may not necessarily translate into high global diversity, because of 87 broad geographic ranges and/or the paucity of species diversity in other regions. 88 Conversely, narrow species ranges may result in relatively low species diversity at a 89 local scale, but high global diversity. 90 While in general diversity is lower in the sea than on land, marine species diversity in 91 some groups and areas can be high (Appeltans et al., 2012; De Vargas et al., 2015; 92 Grosberg et al., 2012; May and Godfrey, 1994; Vermeij and Grosberg, 2010). This 93 high species diversity in the marine environment raises evolutionary questions related 94 to the drivers and mechanisms of evolutionary diversification. Geographic isolation is 95 the traditional explanation for diversification, but there is growing consensus that 96 sympatric adaptive diversification may be an important source of diversity in the 97 marine environment (Bowen et al., 2013; Schluter, 1996, 2001). Opportunities for 98 allopatric speciation are reduced in the ocean since there are few physical barriers, 99 and dispersal may be extensive (Bowen et al., 2013). Although certainly true for 100 many fishes and invertebrates with pelagic larval stages that have high dispersal 101 potential (Kinlan and Gaines, 2003), long-distance dispersal is rarer in marine 102 macroalgae as propagules have been shown to have limited dispersal capabilities 103 (Kinlan and Gaines, 2003; Norton, 1992; Santelices, 1990). There are, however, 104 exceptions of macroalgal species with high dispersal capacity and wide geographical 105 ranges, including Macrocystis pyrifera (Macaya and Zuccarello, 2010), Boodlea 106 (Leliaert et al., 2009), Colpomenia (Lee et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013), Ulva 107 (Kirkendale et al., 2013), Adenocystis utricularis and Bostrychia intricata (Fraser et 108 al., 2013). The relative scarcity of cosmopolitan marine macroalgal species, 109 confirmed by molecular methods, is evidence that long-distance dispersal is not as 110 common as in other groups (e.g. with pelagic larval stages). Indeed, many alleged cosmopolitan species have eventually been shown to represent a complex of genetically distinct species with more restricted distributions (De Clerck et al., 2005; Leliaert et al., 2009; Tronholm et al., 2012; Zuccarello and West, 2003). The strength and spatial extent of gene flow is expected to be an important determinant of the spatial scale at which genetic divergence and speciation can occur (Kisel and Barraclough, 2010). Studies of marine tropical fauna (mostly fishes) have highlighted the possible imporance of sympatric, ecological speciation in generating diversity (Bowen et al., 2013; Rocha et al., 2005). This could also hold true for marine macroalgae, but speciation modes are only rarely addressed for tropical seaweeds. In the present study we assess species diversity and distributions on a global scale focusing on the brown macroalga Lobophora (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae). Lobophora is a pan-tropico-temperate genus that has been previously documented in the Atlantic (including he Gulf of Mexico), Indian and Pacific Oceans, across both hemispheres (Vieira et al., 2016) (Fig.1; this study; Guiry and Guiry, 2015). Before molecular data were available, virtually all specimens, regardless of their origin, had been assigned to L. variegata (J.V.Lamour.) Womersley ex E.C.Oliveira, a species that is now known to be restricted to the Caribbean (Vieira et al., 2016). Recent molecular studies revealed that the biodiversity of this genus has been severely underestimated (Schultz et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2012; Vieira et al., 2016; Vieira et al., 2014). This exceptional diversity discovered from limited locations in the Pacific and Atlantic suggests the existence of a much greater diversity on a global level. The present study aims to (1) assess species diversity on a global scale using molecular data, (2) define current species distributional ranges, (3) determine the role of dispersal barriers, and (4) examine spatial and temporal patterns of diversification and dispersal of the genus Lobophora.

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

2. Material and methods

2.1. Taxon sampling

Taxon sampling consisted of 598 *Lobophora* specimens. Sampling was carried out from the intertidal down to 90 m deep by scuba diving, snorkeling, or box-dredging (e.g. Gulf of Mexico). Specimens were sampled in more than 40 countries, spanning the entire range of the genus (Fig. 1, Vieira et al., 2016 appendix). Voucher specimens were preserved in silica gel and mounted on herbarium sheets. Collection information and voucher/herbarium numbers are detailed in Vieira et al. (2016).

2.2. DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples dried in silica gel, or in some cases from herbarium specimens, using a cetyl-trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction method following De Clerck et al. (2006) or using a DNeasy Plant mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Sequences were generated from the mitochondrial encoded cytochrome c oxidase III gene (cox3), the chloroplast encoded ribulose-1,5-biphosphate carboxylase (rbcL) and the photosystem II protein D1 (psbA) genes. The datasets were complemented with sequences from GenBank (cf. Vieira et al., 2016 appendix). Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) in implemented eBioX 1.6 beta (Lagercrantz, 2008; available http://www.ebioinformatics.org).

2.3. Species delimitation

Since traditional morphology-based species delimitation often yields inaccurate estimates of seaweed diversity (Leliaert et al., 2014), we defined species exclusively based on DNA sequence data. We applied different species delimitation methods i.e., the Maximum Likelihood implementation of the GMYC model (Pons et al., 2006;

161 Reid and Carstens, 2012), the Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD; Puillandre 162 et al., 2012) and the Poisson Tree Processes model (PTP; Zhang et al., 2013) on the 163 cox3 dataset. The use of cox3 alone is enough for delineating species in Lobophora 164 (Vieira et al., 2014). GMYC and ABGD approaches were previously applied to define 165 Lobophora species from New Caledonia (Vieira et al., 2014) and the Western Atlantic 166 (Schultz et al., 2015). Application of the ML-GMYC on cox3 yielded highly similar 167 results (1) with other delimitation methods such as the Bayesian implementation of 168 the GMYC model and the Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (Puillandre et al., 2012) 169 for the same marker, and (2) with analysis of the other markers, rbcL and psbA. 170 GMYC analyses under a single-threshold were conducted in R (R Core Team, 2014) 171 using the package "Splits" (Fujisawa and Barraclough, 2014; Monaghan et al., 2009). 172 The cox3 ultrametric tree, used to conduct the GMYC species delineation, was 173 constructed using Bayesian analyses in BEAST v1.8.2 (Drummond et al., 2012). A 174 GTR + I + Γ substitution model was identified as the best-fitting model for cox3, 175 based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using jModelTest 2 (Darriba et al., 176 2012). BEAST analyses were run under a relaxed molecular clock in combination 177 with a Yule tree prior. Other priors were set to default. In order to check for 178 convergence of the MCMC chains, we performed two independent runs for 10⁷ generations each, starting from random trees and sampling every 10⁴ generations. 179 180 MCMC output files of the independent runs were inspected in Tracer v1.6 (Rambaut 181 et al., 2014) for acceptable effective sample sizes (ESS > 200). A burn-in of 25% was 182 applied once log-likelihood values had stabilized. Maximum clade credibility trees 183 and posterior probability for the nodes were calculated using the postburnin trees 184 using TreeAnnotator 1.8.2 (included in the BEAST package).

2.4. Geographical scales

Different hierarchical geographical scales were considered to assess the patterns of diversity and historical biogeography analyses: (1) two basins: Atlantic and Indo-Pacific; (2) three regions: Indo-Pacific, East Pacific and Atlantic; (3) five sub-regions: Indo-Australian Archipelago (IAA; 'A' in Figs.5, 6), West Indo-Pacific ('B' in Figs.5, 6), Central Pacific ('C' in Figs.5, 6), East Pacific ('D' in Figs.5, 6) and Atlantic ('E' in Figs.5, 6); and (4) 9 realms based on the Marine Ecoregions of the World from Spalding et al. (2007): Temperate Northern Pacific, Central Indo-Pacific, Western Indo-Pacific, Eastern Indo-Pacific, Tropical Eastern Pacific, Tropical Atlantic, Temperate Northern Atlantic, Temperate Southern Africa and Temperate Australasia; and also two climate zones: tropical and temperate.

2.5. Species richness estimation and patterns of diversity

Global species diversity was estimated using non-parametric richness estimators and extrapolation of the rarefaction curve (Shen et al., 2003). We used sample-based rarefaction, rescaled to number of individuals, to interpolate species richness per individual sampled, based on the analytical formulas of Colwell et al. (2004). Additionally, we computed three species richness estimators: the incidence-based coverage estimator (ICE; Chao and Lee, 1992), the Chao 2 richness estimators (Chao 2; Chao, 1987), and the first-order Jackknife richness estimator (Jack 1; Burnham and Overton, 1979). ICE distinguishes between frequent and infrequent species in analysis. Jack 1 does not differentiate the species frequency and relies on the number of species only found once. Chao 2 relies on the number of unique units and duplicates. Extrapolation of the rarefaction curve and species richness estimators were computed with the software ESTIMATES (Version 9; Colwell, 2013). We compared the observed and Chao 2 estimated species diversity between the marine sub-regions in order to compare the level of diversity in each of these regions. We compared the

observed and Chao 2 estimated species diversity between four spatial scales i.e. local, sub-regional, regional and global. We took the most well-sampled locality (New Caledonia), realm (Central Indo-Pacific) and region (Indo-Pacific), in order to get the best idea of what it takes in terms of sampling to properly assess species diversity at a given spatial scale. Finally, to evaluate species range overlap between marine realms, we calculated the similarity matrix between the nine marine realms with respect to their species overlap, applying the Sørensen index (Magurran, 2013).

2.6. Reconstruction of species phylogeny

Based on the results of the species delimitation analyses, a concatenated alignment of the cox3 (610 bp) + psbA (919 bp) + rbcL (1,360 bp) dataset was made containing a single representative per species. The matrix was 80% filled at the species × gene level. Species used as outgroup taxa used for the time-calibrated phylogeny are given in Table S1. Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) species trees were generated from the concatenated alignment, partitioned by gene and codon position. ML analyses were conducted using RAxML under a GTR+CAT model (Stamatakis, 2006). The robustness of the resulting phylogenies was tested using 1,000 replicates of a rapid bootstrap heuristic (Stamatakis, 2006); and for the BI, using MrBayes v3.2.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003), initiated with a random starting tree and with four chains of MCMC iterations ran simultaneously for 100 million generations. The first 100,000 (25%) trees sampled were discarded as burn-in, based on the stationarity of lnL for all parameters as assessed using Tracer version 1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014). A consensus topology and posterior probabilities of the nodes were calculated from the remaining trees.

2.7. Time-calibrated species phylogeny

The occurrence of Phaeophyceae as fossils is rare due to their generally soft-bodied nature (Arnold, 1947), and scientists continue to debate the identification of some fossils (Coyer et al., 2001). Padina and Newhousia are the only two genera of the class Phaeophyceae which deposit calcium carbonate. While no fossils of Newhousia are documented to date, the Early Cretaceous (-145.5 to -99.6 Ma) clay shales from the Gangapur formation (Andhra Pradesh state, India) yielded a macroalgal fossil reminiscent of extant species of the genus *Padina* (Rajanikanth, 1989). Babcock et al. (2012) reported a new species of *Padina* from the Drumian Stage (Cambrian) in Hunan, China. From our own observations of the available pictures, their identification of a *Padina* is doubtful, and we decided not to consider this fossil since it is challenging the current view of the time-scale of brown algal evolution (Brown & Sorhannus, 2010). Our Lobophora phylogeny was therefore calibrated with (1) a fossil of Padina, (2) the Dictyotales node as estimated in Silberfeld et al. (2010), and (3) the Phaeophyceae node as estimated in Brown and Sorhannus (2010). The age of Padina was constrained at -95 Ma and tailing off according to a gamma distribution with shape = 3.0 and scale = 5.5 (Silberfeld et al., 2014). The split between the Dictyotales and the outgroup Syringoderma, i.e. the crown group Dictyotales-Syringoderma, was constrained between -130 and -195 Ma using a uniform prior (Silberfeld et al., 2014). The age of the split between Phaeophyceae and Schizocladiophyceae lineages, i.e. the crown group Phaeophyceae-Schizocladiophyceae, was constrained in the Lower Jurassic between -125 and -253 Ma using a uniform prior (Brown and Sorhannus, 2010). The time-calibrated Lobophora phylogeny (i.e. chronogram) was inferred using Bayesian analyses in BEAST 1.8.2 (Drummond et al., 2012), for the concatenated (cox3 + rbcL + psbA)alignment partitioned by gene and codon position, using a lognormal relaxed

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

molecular clock method, with autocorrelated rates in combination with a Yule model tree prior, and the GTR + I + Γ substitution model for the three unlinked markers. The GTR + I + Γ substitution model was identified as the best-fitting model for each gene, based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using jModelTest 2 (Darriba et al., 2012). Other priors were set to default. In order to check for convergence of the MCMC chains, we performed two independent runs for 10^7 generations each, starting from random trees and sampling every 10^4 generations. MCMC output files of the independent runs were inspected in Tracer v1.6 (Miller et al., 2010) for acceptable effective sample sizes (ESS > 200). A burn-in was applied once log-likelihood values for all parameters had stabilized. Maximum clade credibility trees and posterior probabilities for the nodes were calculated using the postburnin trees using TreeAnnotator 1.8.2 (included in the BEAST package). All phylogenetic analyses were conducted on the Cipres web portal (Miller et al., 2010).

2.8. Historical biogeography

To infer the evolution of geographical ranges, we used the R package BIOGEOBEARS (Matzke, 2013). This package implements the most common biogeographical history reconstruction methods in a likelihood framework: dispersal-extinction-cladogenesis model (DEC; Ree et al., 2005; Ree and Smith, 2008), dispersal-vicariance analysis (DIVA; Ronquist, 1997) and the BayArea model (Landis et al., 2013). Moreover, it also incorporates a model of founder-event speciation ('+J') and allows the fit of models to be compared using a model choice procedure (Matzke, 2013).

3. Results

283 3.1. *Lobophora* global species diversity

The GMYC analysis based on the mitochondrial cox3 marker, significantly rejected the null model (single coalescence model for the entire tree), resulting in delimitation of 109 evolutionary significant units (ESUs) (Fig. 2), with a confidence interval of 98 - 121. ABGD and PTP resulted in the delineation of 100 and 141 ESUs, respectiveley. ABGD lineages were subdivided 5 and 26 times by GMYC and PTP, respectively. Subdivisions of GMYC and ABGD lineages in the PTP delineation, generally reflected the biogeography of the lineages, i.e. sibling lineages in PTP are geographically distinct. For example L. sp.35 split into two lineages in the PTP analysis, which correspond to two regions, namely South Africa and Juan de Nova. For this study, we considered the GMYC delineation results since the geographic distances between these additional species delineated by PTP generally did not extend further than within a marine region. Extrapolation of the rarefaction curve indicates a mean value of ~190 Lobophora species, with a confidence interval of 140 - 235 species (Fig. S1). The species diversity value reaches a plateau at ca. 3000 samples. Species richness estimators projected a diversity of 179 (Jack 1) to 209 (ICE) species (Table 1). Taking the mean and the confidence interval of the GMYC results into consideration, and estimators and extrapolation values, we estimate having discovered 42 to 86 % of the Lobophora extant species diversity (Table 1).

303

304

305

306

307

308

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

3.2. Regional diversity

Substantial differences in species diversity were observed between some marine subregions. The Indo-Pacific stands out with the highest diversity with 95 species and an estimate of 150 species based on the Chao2 species richness estimator (Fig. 3A, S2A). The level of diversity drops to 18 species in the Atlantic with an estimate of 20

species based on Chao2 (Fig. 3A, S2A). The least speciose regions are Temperate Australasia and the Tropical Eastern Pacific with six and four species, respectively, and with similar Chao 2 based-estimates (Fig. 3A, S2A). We also examined species diversity along a multiscale gradient from a local (i.e. New Caledonia) to a global scale (Fig. 3B, S2B). Fig. 3 displays the cumulative number of species observed as a function of sampling effort in different marine regions (Fig. 3A, S2A) and at different scales (Fig. 3B, S2B). The shape of the curves is not the same for all regions, with three out of four approximately reaching an asymptote shape, implying that the Indo-Pacific region reserves a greater diversity yet to be explored, while the diversity of the other regions was mostly revealed by our sampling.

3.3. Inter-regional species overlap

Twenty-five percent of all *Lobophora* species span more than one sub-region (Fig. 6). With 16 species shared with neighbouring sub-regions (nine with the Western Indo-Pacific and seven with the Eastern Indo-Pacific) the Indo-Australian Archipelago is the sub-region that shares the most species with its adjacent sub-regions (Fig. 6). The tropical Eastern Pacific, which shares no species with the Eastern Indo-Pacific and only one species with the Atlantic (Fig. 6), is the most 'isolated' region followed by the Atlantic (Fig. 6). A Sørensen similarity matrix shows an overall low similarity (<0.20) between the nine marine realms in terms of species overlap (Table S2), meaning that a limited number of species span more than one realm. The highest level of similarity (0.92) is observed between the Tropical Atlantic and Temperate Northern Atlantic, which have four species in common. Despite the high diversity in the Indo-Pacific, provinces display low species overlap.

3.4. Geographical diversity patterns

The Central Indo-Pacific is the richest realm with at least 57 species, followed by the Western Indo-Pacific with 35 species, the Eastern Indo-Pacific with 19 species and the Tropical Atlantic with 14 species. The remaining realms contain between one to 6 species (Table 2). Only three species are occurring across both hemispheres (*L. asiatica*, *L.* sp.18 and *L.* sp44). Ninety-nine *Lobophora* species (87%) are strictly tropical, 5 species (4%) are strictly temperate and 10 species (9%) are tropico-temperate (present in warm temperate and tropical regions). Nearly all *Lobophora* species (109 species = 97%) are restricted to one ocean basin (Table 2), and 86 species (75%) are restricted to one marine realm (Table 2). Twenty-three (20%) and five (3.5%) species are spanning two and three realms, respectively. In the Indo-Pacific, only four species are distributed across the centro-western part (*L. sp28* (8 specimens), *L. rosacea* (67 specimens), *L. gibbera* (7 specimens), *L. ceylanica* (5 specimens)) and only three in the centro-eastern part (*L. pacifica* (11 specimens), *L. undulata* (31 specimens), *L. sp19* (20 specimens)), but in our dataset no species are found across the entire the Indo-Pacific.

3.5. Dated molecular phylogeny of *Lobophora*

A *Lobophora* species tree, infered from a concatenated alignment of *rbc*L, *cox*3 and *psb*A sequences, presented with maximum-likelihood bootstrap and Bayesian posterior probability values, is given in Fig. S3. Our time-calibrated phylogeny indicates that *Lobophora* originated in the Upper Cretaceous between 65 – 90 MY (Fig. 4). From the beginning of the Cenozoic onward, *Lobophora* diversification occurred rather steadily through its evolutionary history (Fig. 4). None of the major marine vicariance events (e.g. closure of the Tethys Sea, Benguela upwelling, Panama

Isthmus closure; indicated as vertical lines in Fig. 4) nor sea level variations (indicated as a blue line in Fig. 4) seem to have caused major shifts in diversification rates of *Lobophora*. On the other hand, the East Pacific barrier represents a clear dispersal barrier since the East Pacific has a lower number of *Lobophora* species, and only three that span the central and eastern Pacific.

3.6. Historical biogeographical inference

The Dispersal-Extinction-Cladogenesis with founder-event speciation model (DEC+ J) was identified as the best model in the BioGeoBEARS analyses when considering nine marine realms or five marine sub-regions (Table 3). These results highlight the importance of founder-event speciation (j=0.0254 > d=0.0019 > e=0). When the number of regions was reduced to three (Atlantic, Indo-Pacific and Eastern Pacific) or two (Atlantic and Indo-Pacific), DIVA + J was identified as the best model. Based on the historical biogeographical inference based on the basins level (Atlantic and Indo-Pacific), the DEC + J model informs us that the *Lobophora* ancestor (LA) originated from the Indo-Pacific which corresponded to the Upper Cretaceous Tethys Sea (Figs. 4, 5).

3.7. Relative contribution of sympatry, vicariance and founder events

"Biogeographical Stochastic Mapping" (BSM), implemented in BioGeoBEARS, allows to quantify speciation events. 'Sympatric' speciation, i.e. speciation within a predefined region, comes as the most important speciation mode (90%) at the basin level, with the remaining 10% being founder events, e.g. dispersal from one basin on to another. At a finer scale, i.e. marine realms level, speciation within marine regions remains the most important mode of speciation (71%), followed by founder events (19%) and vicariance (9%). The relative contribution of each of these modes of speciation varies between the different realms (Figs. 6,S5). For instance, while most

of *Lobophora* diversity within the Central Indo-Pacific and the Western Indo-Pacific result from 'sympatric' speciation, *Lobophora* diversity within the Temperate Northern Pacific and Temperate Southern Africa exclusively results from founder events (Figs. 6,S5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Species diversity

We assessed species diversity of the marine brown algal genus *Lobophora* on a global scale. The level of *Lobophora* diversity unveiled from local studies in the Pacific Ocean (Sun et al., 2012; Vieira et al., 2014) already predicted a richer global biodiversity for this genus than previously recognized. Our DNA sequence data indicate an increase of the species diversity of the genus *Lobophora* by five to six folds, from 20-30 species to more than 100 species, which makes *Lobophora* a hyperdiverse genus of marine macroalgae. Our results once again show how morphology-based taxonomy fails to accurately estimate species diversity in some algal groups (De Clerck et al., 2013; Leliaert et al., 2014; Packer et al., 2009).

4.2. Geographic distributions

While sister species may be geographically widely separated (Fig. S4), the distribution of single species are mostly restricted to one ocean basin and usually do not expand beyond marine realms as defined by Spalding et al. (2007), but there are exceptions, namely *L.* sp37, *L.* sp44 and *L.* sp77, which are spanning beyond the Atlantic. Not a single *Lobophora* species was found to be pantropical, i.e. cosmopolitan. Several other algal taxa with allegedly broad distribution have been shown to correspond to complexes of species with restricted distributions. A study conducted on the genus *Padina* (Silberfeld et al., 2014) (a member of the same family

as *Lobophora*, Dictyotaceae) resolved that globally distributed morphospecies segregated into evolutionary lineages with more restricted ranges. Working on two supposedly circumtropical *Dictyota* species (also Dictyotaceae), *D. ciliolata* and *D. crenulata*, Tronholm et al. (2012) concluded that the former consisted of several pseudocryptic species with restricted distributions in the Atlantic Ocean and Pacific Central America, while the pantropic distribution of the latter was confirmed. Other examples can be given, such as *Colpomenia sinuosa* which consist of several species with more or less wide distribution (Lee et al., 2013). Although red algae may have a different evolutionary history, at a finer geographical scale, Zuccarello and West (2003) study on the *Bostrychia radicans/B. moritziana* complex resulted in the identification of distinct evolutionary lineages with defined areas along the eastern North American coast.

4.3. Patterns of diversity

The majority of the *Lobophora* species are restricted to tropical regions, and have small ranges limited to marine realms. *Lobophora* species diversity is highest in the Indo-Australian Archipelago (IAA). In contrast to the general patterns of most macroalgal genera (e.g. Santelices and Marquet, 1998), the center of diversity for the genus *Lobophora* is located in the tropics. Similar patterns are observed among several other macroalgal groups such as siphonous green algae (Kerswell, 2006), but also genera belonging to the same order as *Lobophora*, i.e. *Dictyota* (Guiry and Guiry, 2015) and *Padina* (Silberfeld et al., 2014). In the Atlantic Ocean, the center of diversity is located in the central Caribbean. However, diversity in the Atlantic (14 species) is much lower compared to the Indo-Pacific (102 species). Several possible explanations have been discussed in the literature, e.g. greater diversity and extent of shallow water habitats in the central Indo-Pacific compared to the Atlantic (both

historically and today); bigger size of the tropical Indo-Pacific, and higher number of islands, relative to the Atlantic, providing more opportunities for isolation and speciation; greater age of the Indo-Pacific; increased diversification in Oligo-Miocene related to tectonic activity in central IWP (e.g. collision of Australia-New Guinea plate with SE Eurasia) increasing shallow water habitats (Williams and Duda Jr, 2008); increased speciation by isolation and reconnection of Indo-Pacific populations as sea levels drop and rise (e.g. during Pleistocene glaciation events); ecological speciation (e.g. Bowen et al., 2013, see discussion below). Then, there is the classical discussion whether the high diversity in the central Indo-Pacific is the result of high speciation rates within the region (center of origin), speciation in peripheral regions and dispersal and survival in the central IP (center of accumulation), or the result of overlapping ranges (center of overlap) (Barber and Meyer, 2015).

4.4. Tethyan diaspora: origin and early diversification

The time-calibrated phylogeny and historical biogeographical analysis suggest that *Lobophora* originated in the Upper Cretaceous in the remains of the Tethys Sea. Origination in the Tethys Sea is inferred, yet with a high level of uncertainty, as possible/putative ancestral area a region common to the current Atlantic and Indo-Pacific Oceans. On the other hand the ancestral range of the large clade emcompassing *L.* sp61 as outgroup, that originated -55 My, is inferred to be the Central Indo-Pacific with high certainty. From the Tethys Sea, *Lobophora* species experienced multiple, more recent, dispersal events to the Atlantic, with little diversification within the region. Diversification has been considerably higher in the Indo-West Pacific compared to the Atlantic and Eastern Pacific (see discussion above). Nevertheless, dispersal events in the Atlantic Ocean may have occurred more recently than during the Upper Cretaceous (e.g. Oligocene and Miocene) which could

explain why the number of species is lower in the Atlantic. In fact, while Atlantic species branched off early in the tree, dispersal events could have taken place anywhere along these branches (e.g. the actual dispersal event could have taken place close to the nodes of Atlantic clades). Generally, founder speciation occurred several times throughout Lobophora evolutionary history thus playing an important role in its diversification. High diversity within the Central Indo-Pacific region may have resulted from a combination of within region speciation and of regular colonization from adjacent regions (West Indo-Pacific and Eastern Indo-Pacific; Fig. 6). Furthermore, 70% of the species distributed within at least two different marine realms are present in the Central Indo-Pacific. These observations suggest that this region acted not only as a region of origination/diversification but also a center of overlap (Barber, 2009; Connolly et al., 2003; Halas and Winterbottom, 2009). Colonization of the Caribbean occurred several times and from different origins, and resulted in very low regional diversification (e.g. Altantic clades of maximum two species). The presence of only two species (L. sp44 and L. sp37) distributed in the Western Indo-Pacific and in the Eastern Atlantic also suggests that while the Benguela upwelling may represent an efficient dispersal barrier, dispersal across it occurred at least twice. Finally, colonization of temperate regions occurred at different periods of *Lobophora* evolution history. The earliest dispersal to temperate region occurred during the Paleocene (-60 Ma) in the southern hemisphere. Northern hemisphere temperate regions were colonized more recently. The current global Lobophora taxonomic makeup shows that hard barrier formations (East Pacific Barrier, Terminal Tethyan event, Isthmus of the Panama) did not act as important vicariance events for this genus (Fig. 4). On the other hand, they constituted efficient barriers for Lobophora dispersal. However, while no sibling species were yet found

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

across the Panama isthmus, it is not excluded that a more significant sampling effort on the Pacific side of the isthmus could disclose sibling species.

4.5. Geographical speciation processes

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

It is important to note that the term 'sympatric' speciation expresses here speciation within the scale considered (e.g. basin, region, realm). Within a given region, however, speciation may actually result from allopatric speciation (e.g. vicariance or founder event). Identifying actual sympatric speciation events requires working at the finest possible scale e.g. several hundred meters to several hundred kilometers. We analyzed diversification at different scales from ocean basins to the marine realms. Virtually all speciation events occurred within ocean basins, and two-third of the speciation events occurred within marine realms. On the other hand, long-distance dispersal to adjacent realms, followed by founder speciation represents a nonnegligible process in Lobophora diversification. It is difficult, however, to make sound conclusions regarding geographical versus ecological speciation modes based on our data. Within realms, the finest scales considered in this study, sympatric and/or allopatric speciation could have occurred. Finer phylogeographic studies, down to scales of several kilometers, in combination with ecological data, will be needed to assess the relative role of allopatric versus sympatric speciation in Lobophora (e.g. Billard et al., 2010; Payo et al., 2013; Pielou, 1978). The wide ecological variation in Lobophora hints toward an important role of ecological speciation. In addition, ecological partitioning has been shown to allow coexistence of sympatric Lobophora

4.6. Cladogenic drivers

Lobophora species distribution and richness are reminiscent of those of corals and coral reef fishes (Cowman and Bellwood, 2011). Several studies have already pointed

sister species (Vieira et al., 2014) often found only several meters apart.

to the central role of coral reef association in underpinning diversification within major marine groups (Alfaro et al., 2007; Bellwood et al., 2010; Cowman and Bellwood, 2011; Hughes et al., 2002; Renema et al., 2008). Considering the major role herbivory played in macroalgal ecology (Hay, 1997; Lubchenco and Gaines, 1981), diversification of reef algae and herbivores are very likely correlated through a co-evolutionary arms race. The development of a complex mosaic of reef habitats also probably favored reef algal speciation by providing opportunities for new habitat colonization and ecological diversification (Alfaro et al., 2007; Cowman and Bellwood, 2011). Thus, the biotic interactions between Lobophora, herbivores and corals may have favored diversification in coral reefs. This idea that coral reefs acted as cladogenesis drivers has already been proposed for other reef organisms, such as coral reef fishes, where coral reefs provided the mechanisms allowing both higher rates of speciation and reduced vulnerability to extinction for associated lineages (Cowman and Bellwood, 2011). Lobophora has been considered as a potent competitor with corals. An example is its proliferation following disturbances that impacted herbivores and corals in the Caribbean in the mid-80s (De Ruyter van Steveninck and Breeman, 1987; Hughes, 1994). Timing of origination and patterns of distribution and diversity clearly show that Lobophora is a fully-fledged member of coral reefs and has evolved in these ecosystems since the rise of modern coral reefs (during the Cretaceous). Consequently, Lobophora should not be seen as a threat to corals, but instead as an indicator of coral reef health status. In fact, while following disturbances, Lobophora has shown the capacity to bloom in certain reefs across the globe (De Ruyter van Steveninck and Breeman, 1987; Diaz-Pulido et al., 2009; Lesser and Slattery, 2011), and corals demonstrated resilience once conditions came back to normal (Diaz-Pulido

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

534 et al., 2009). In healthy reefs, Lobophora has been reported only once as representing an apparent threat to corals (Vieira et al., 2015), a case of epizoism syndrome, but 535 536 even then, only one species was threatened. 537 538 Acknowledgments 539 C. Vieira is a PhD fellow of the University of Pierre and Marie Curie and Ghent 540 University and is part of MARES, a Joint Doctorate program selected under Erasmus 541 Mundus coordinated by Ghent University (FPA 2011-0016). O. Camacho is a PhD 542 Candidate at the University of Louisiana at Lafayette. We thank NSF DEB-0315995 543 and DEB-1456674 (ARTS).

550

558

559560

561

562

563

564

565566

567

568

569

570

571

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

- Agapow, P.M., Bininda-Emonds, O.R., Crandall, K.A., Gittleman, J.L., Mace, G.M., Marshall, J.C., Purvis, A., 2004. The impact of species concept on biodiversity studies. Q. Rev. Biol. 79, 161-179.
- Alfaro, M.E., Santini, F., Brock, C.D., 2007. Do reefs drive diversification in marine teleosts? Evidence from the pufferfish and their allies (Order Tetraodontiformes). Evolution 61, 2104-2126.
- 554 Appeltans, W., Ahyong, S.T., Anderson, G., Angel, M.V., Artois, T., Bailly, N., 555 Bamber, R., Barber, A., Bartsch, I., Berta, A., 2012. The magnitude of global 556 marine species diversity. Curr. Biol. 22, 2189-2202.
- Arnold, C.A., 1947. Introduction to paleobotany.
 - Barber, P.H., 2009. The challenge of understanding the Coral Triangle biodiversity hotspot. J. Biogeogr. 36, 1845-1846.
 - Barber, P.H., Meyer, C.P., 2015. Pluralism explains diversity in the Coral Triangle. In: Mora, C. (Ed.), Ecology of Fishes on Coral Reefs. Cambridge University Press, p. 258.
 - Bellwood, D., Klanten, S., Cowman, P., Pratchett, M., Konow, N., Van Herwerden, L., 2010. Evolutionary history of the butterflyfishes (f: Chaetodontidae) and the rise of coral feeding fishes. J. Evol. Biol. 23, 335-349.
 - Bickford, D., Lohman, D.J., Sodhi, N.S., Ng, P.K., Meier, R., Winker, K., Ingram, K.K., Das, I., 2007. Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 22, 148-155.
 - Billard, E., Serrão, E., Pearson, G., Destombe, C., Valero, M., 2010. *Fucus vesiculosus* and *spiralis* species complex: a nested model of local adaptation at the shore level. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 405, 163-174.
- 572 Blaxter, M.L., 2004. The promise of a DNA taxonomy. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 359, 669-679.
 - Bowen, B.W., Rocha, L.A., Toonen, R.J., Karl, S.A., 2013. The origins of tropical marine biodiversity. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28, 359-366.
 - Brown, J.W., Sorhannus, U., 2010. A molecular genetic timescale for the diversification of autotrophic stramenopiles (Ochrophyta): substantive underestimation of putative fossil ages. PLoS One 5, e12759.
 - Burnham, K.P., Overton, W.S., 1979. Robust estimation of population size when capture probabilities vary among animals. Ecology 60, 927-936.
 - Chao, A., 1987. Estimating the population size for capture-recapture data with unequal catchability. Biometrics 43, 783-791.
 - Chao, A., Lee, S.M., 1992. Estimating the Number of Classes Via Sample Coverage. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 87, 210-217.
- Colwell, R., 2013. EstimateS: Statistical estimation of species richness and shared species from samples. (http://purloclcorg/estimates), Version 9.
- Colwell, R.K., Mao, C.X., Chang, J., 2004. Interpolating, extrapolating, and comparing incidence-based species accumulation curves. Ecology 85, 2717-2727.
- 590 Connolly, S.R., Bellwood, D.R., Hughes, T.P., 2003. Indo-Pacific biodiversity of coral reefs: deviations from a mid-domain model. Ecology 84, 2178-2190.

- Costello, M.J., May, R.M., Stork, N.E., 2013. Can we name Earth's species before they go extinct? Science 339, 413-416.
- Cowman, P., Bellwood, D., 2011. Coral reefs as drivers of cladogenesis: expanding coral reefs, cryptic extinction events, and the development of biodiversity hotspots. J. Evol. Biol. 24, 2543-2562.

598

599

602

603

604

605

606

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

626

- Coyer, J.A., Smith, G.J., Andersen, R.A., 2001. Evolution of *Macrocystis* spp. (Phaeophyceae) as determined by ITS1 and ITS2 sequences. J. Phycol. 37, 574-585.
- Darriba, D., Taboada, G.L., Doallo, R., Posada, D., 2012. jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nat. Methods 9, 772-772.
 - De Clerck, O., Gavio, B., Fredericq, S., Barbara, I., Coppejans, E., 2005. Systematics of *Grateloupia fillicina* (Halumeniaceae, Rhodophyta), based on *rbcL* sequence analyses and morphological evidence, including the reinstatement of *G. minima* and the description of *G. capensis* sp. nov. J. Phycol. 41, 391-410.
- De Clerck, O., Guiry, M.D., Leliaert, F., Samyn, Y., Verbruggen, H., 2013. Algal taxonomy: a road to nowhere? J. Phycol. 49, 215-225.
- De Clerck, O., Leliaert, F., Verbruggen, H., Lane, C.E., De Paula, J.C., Payo, D.A., Coppejans, E., 2006. A revised classification of the Dictyoteae (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) based on *rbc*L and 26S ribosomal DNA sequence analyses. J. Phycol. 42, 1271-1288.
- De Ruyter van Steveninck, E., Breeman, A., 1987. Deep water vegetations of Lobophora variegata (Phaeophyceae) in the coral reef of Curacao population dynamics in relation to mass mortality of the sea urchin Diadema antillarum. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 36, 81-90.
 - De Vargas, C., Audic, S., Henry, N., Decelle, J., Mahé, F., Logares, R., Lara, E., Berney, C., Le Bescot, N., Probert, I., 2015. Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. Science 348, 1261605.
 - Diaz-Pulido, G., McCook, L.J., Dove, S., Berkelmans, R., Roff, G., Kline, D.I., Weeks, S., Evans, R.D., Williamson, D.H., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., 2009. Doom and boom on a resilient reef: climate change, algal overgrowth and coral recovery. PLoS One 4, e5239.
- Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Rambaut, A., 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Mol. Biol. Evol. 29, 1969-1973.
 - Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1792-1797.
- Evans, K.M., Wortley, A.H., Mann, D.G., 2007. An assessment of potential diatom barcode" genes (cox1, rbcL, 18S and ITS rDNA) and their effectiveness in determining relationships in *Sellaphora* (Bacillariophyta). Protist 158, 349-364.
- Fraser, C.I., Zuccarello, G.C., Spencer, H.G., Salvatore, L.C., Garcia, G.R., Waters, J.M., 2013. Genetic affinities between trans-oceanic populations of non-buoyant macroalgae in the high latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere. PLoS One 8, e69138.
- 636 Grosberg, R.K., Vermeij, G.J., Wainwright, P.C., 2012. Biodiversity in water and on land. Curr. Biol. 22, R900-R903.
- 638 Guiry, M.D., 2012. How many species of algae are there? J. Phycol. 48, 1057-1063.

- Guiry, M.D., Guiry, G.M., 2015. AlgaeBase. World-wide electronic publication.
 National University of Ireland, Galway. http://www.algaebase.org
 (accessed 30 Oct 2016).
- Halas, D., Winterbottom, R., 2009. A phylogenetic test of multiple proposals for the origins of the East Indies coral reef biota. J. Biogeogr. 36, 1847-1860.
- Hay, M.E., 1997. The ecology and evolution of seaweed-herbivore interactions on coral reefs. Coral Reefs 16, Suppl.: S67—S76.
- Hughes, T.P., 1994. Catastrophes, phase shifts, and large-scale degradation of a Caribbean coral reef. Science 265, 1547-1551.
- Hughes, T.P., Bellwood, D.R., Connolly, S.R., 2002. Biodiversity hotspots, centres of endemicity, and the conservation of coral reefs. Ecol. Lett. 5, 775-784.
- Kerswell, A.P., 2006. Global biodiversity patterns of benthic marine algae. Ecology 87, 2479-2488.

653

654

655

656

661 662

663664

665

666

667

668 669

670

675

676

677678

679

- Kinlan, B.P., Gaines, S.D., 2003. Propagule dispersal in marine and terrestrial environments: a community perspective. Ecology 84, 2007-2020.
 - Kirkendale, L., Saunders, G.W., Winberg, P., 2013. A molecular survey of *Ulva* (Chlorophyta) in temperate Australia reveals enhanced levels of cosmopolitanism. J. Phycol. 49, 69-81.
- Kisel, Y., Barraclough, T.G., 2010. Speciation Has a Spatial Scale That Depends on Levels of Gene Flow. Am. Nat. 175, 316-334.
- Lagercrantz, E., 2008. eBioX. Available at http://www.ebioinformatics.org/ebiox
 [accessed 30 Jun 2016].
 - Landis, M.J., Matzke, N.J., Moore, B.R., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2013. Bayesian Analysis of Biogeography when the Number of Areas is Large. Syst. Biol. 62, 789-804.
 - Lee, K.M., Boo, G.H., Coyer, J.A., Nelson, W.A., Miller, K.A., Boo, S.M., 2014. Distribution patterns and introduction pathways of the cosmopolitan brown alga *Colpomenia peregrina* using mt *cox*3 and *atp*6 sequences. J. Appl. Phycol. 26, 491-504.
 - Lee, K.M., Boo, S.M., Sherwood, A.R., 2013. Cryptic diversity and biogeography of the widespread brown alga *Colpomenia sinuosa* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae). Bot. Mar. 56, 15-25.
- Leliaert, F., Verbruggen, H., Vanormelingen, P., Steen, F., López-Bautista, J.M., Zuccarello, G.C., De Clerck, O., 2014. DNA-based species delimitation in algae. Eur. J. Phycol. 49, 179-196. Leliaert, F., Verbruggen, H., Wysor, B., De Clerck, O., 2009. DNA taxonomy in
 - Leliaert, F., Verbruggen, H., Wysor, B., De Clerck, O., 2009. DNA taxonomy in morphologically plastic taxa: algorithmic species delimitation in the *Boodlea* complex (Chlorophyta: Cladophorales). Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 53, 122-133.
 - Lesser, M.P., Slattery, M., 2011. Phase shift to algal dominated communities at mesophotic depths associated with lionfish (Pterois volitans) invasion on a Bahamian coral reef. Biol. Invasions 13, 1855-1868.
- Lubchenco, J., Gaines, S.D., 1981. A unified approach to marine plant-herbivore interactions. I. Populations and communities. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 405-437.
- Macaya, E.C., Zuccarello, G.C., 2010. DNA barcoding and genetic diversence in the giant kelp *Macrocystis* (Laminariales). J. Phycol. 46, 736-742.
- Magurran, A.E., 2013. Measuring biological diversity. Blackwell, Oxford, United Kingdom.

- Matzke, N.J., 2013. BioGeoBEARS: Biogeography with Bayesian (and likelihood) evolutionary analysis in R scripts. R package, version 0.2 1.
- May, R.M., Godfrey, J., 1994. Biological Diversity: Differences between Land and Sea [and Discussion]. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 343, 105-111.
- 692 Miller, K.G., Kominz, M.A., Browning, J.V., Wright, J.D., Mountain, G.S., Katz, M.E., 693 Sugarman, P.J., Cramer, B.S., Christie-Blick, N., Pekar, S.F., 2005. The 694 Phanerozoic record of global sea-level change. Science 310, 1293-1298.
- 695 Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W., Schwartz, T., 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway 696 for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: Proceedings of the Gateway 697 Computing Environments Workshop (GCE). Institute of Electrical and 698 Electronics Engineers (IEEE), New Orleans. New York, USA, pp. 1-8.
- Mora, C., Tittensor, D.P., Adl, S., Simpson, A.G., Worm, B., 2011. How many species are there on Earth and in the ocean? PLoS Biol. 9, e1001127.
- Norton, T.A., 1992. Dispersal by Macroalgae. Brit. Phycol. J. 27, 293-301.

705

706

707

708

709

710

717

718

719

722723

724

725

726

- Packer, L., Gibbs, J., Sheffield, C., Hanner, R., 2009. DNA barcoding and the mediocrity of morphology. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 9, 42-50.
 - Payo, D.A., Leliaert, F., Verbruggen, H., D'hondt, S., Calumpong, H.P., De Clerck, O., 2013. Extensive cryptic species diversity and fine-scale endemism in the marine red alga *Portieria* in the Philippines. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 280, 20122660.
 - Pfenninger, M., Schwenk, K., 2007. Cryptic animal species are homogeneously distributed among taxa and biogeographical regions. BMC Evol. Biol. 7, 121.
- Pielou, E.C., 1978. Latitudinal Overlap of Seaweed Species Evidence for Quasi-Sympatric Speciation. J. Biogeogr. 5, 227-238.
- Pons, J., Barraclough, T.G., Gomez-Zurita, J., Cardoso, A., Duran, D.P., Hazell, S., Kamoun, S., Sumlin, W.D., Vogler, A.P., 2006. Sequence-based species delimitation for the DNA taxonomy of undescribed insects. Syst. Biol. 55, 595-609.
 - Puillandre, N., Lambert, A., Brouillet, S., Achaz, G., 2012. ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. Mol. Ecol. 21, 1864-1877.
- Rajanikanth, A., 1989. A fossil marine brown alga from the Gangapur Formation, Pranhita-Godavari Graben. Curr. Sci. 58, 78-80.
 - Rambaut, A., Suchard, M., Xie, D., Drummond, A., 2014. Tracer v1.6. Molecular evolution, phylogenetics and epidemiology, University of Edinburgh. http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.
 - Ree, R.H., Moore, B.R., Webb, C.O., Donoghue, M.J., 2005. A likelihood framework for inferring the evolution of geographic range on phylogenetic trees. Evolution 59, 2299-2311.
- Ree, R.H., Smith, S.A., 2008. Maximum likelihood inference of geographic range evolution by dispersal, local extinction, and cladogenesis. Syst. Biol. 57, 4-14.
- Reid, N., Carstens, B., 2012. Phylogenetic estimation error can decrease the accuracy of species delimitation: a Bayesian implementation of the general mixed Yule-coalescent model. BMC Evol. Biol. 12, 196.
- Renema, W., Bellwood, D., Braga, J., Bromfield, K., Hall, R., Johnson, K., Lunt, P., Meyer, C., McMonagle, L., Morley, R., 2008. Hopping hotspots: global shifts in marine biodiversity. Science 321, 654-657.

- Rocha, L.A., Robertson, D.R., Roman, J., Bowen, B.W., 2005. Ecological speciation in tropical reef fishes. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 272, 573-579.
- Ronquist, F., 1997. Dispersal-vicariance analysis: a new approach to the quantification of historical biogeography. Syst. Biol. 46, 195-203.
- Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572-1574.
- Santelices, B., 1990. Patterns of reproduction, dispersal and recruitment in seaweeds. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 28, 177-276.

748

749

750

751

752

754

755756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763764

765

766

767

768

769 770

771772

773

774

- Santelices, B., Marquet, P., 1998. Seaweeds, latitudinal diversity patterns, and Rapoport's rule. Divers. Distrib. 4, 71-75.
 - Saunders, G.W., 2008. A DNA barcode examination of the red algal family Dumontiaceae in Canadian waters reveals substantial cryptic species diversity. Botany 86, 773-789.
 - Scheffers, B.R., Joppa, L.N., Pimm, S.L., Laurance, W.F., 2012. What we know and don't know about Earth's missing biodiversity. Trends Ecol. Evol. 27, 501-510.
- 753 Schluter, D., 1996. Ecological causes of adaptive radiation. Am. Nat. 148, S40-S64.
 - Schluter, D., 2001. Ecology and the origin of species. Trends Ecol. Evol. 16, 372-380.
 - Schultz, N.E., Lane, C.E., Le Gall, L., Gey, D., Bigney, A.R., De Reviers, B., Rousseau, F., Schneider, C.W., 2015. A barcode analysis of the genus *Lobophora* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) in the western Atlantic Ocean with four novel species and the epitypification of *L. variegata* (J.V. Lamouroux) E.C. Oliveira. Eur. J. Phycol. 50, 1-20.
 - Shen, T.-J., Chao, A., Lin, C.-F., 2003. Predicting the number of new species in further taxonomic sampling. Ecology 84, 798-804.
 - Silberfeld, T., Bittner, L., Fernández-García, C., Cruaud, C., Rousseau, F., Reviers, B., Leliaert, F., Payri, C.E., Clerck, O., 2014. Species diversity, phylogeny and large scale biogeographic patterns of the genus *Padina* (Phaeophyceae, Dictyotales). J. Phycol. 49, 130-142.
 - Silberfeld, T., Leigh, J.W., Verbruggen, H., Cruaud, C., De Reviers, B., Rousseau, F., 2010. A multi-locus time-calibrated phylogeny of the brown algae (Heterokonta, Ochrophyta, Phaeophyceae): investigating the evolutionary nature of the "brown algal crown radiation". Mol. Phylogen. Evol. 56, 659-674.
 - Spalding, M.D., Fox, H.E., Allen, G.R., Davidson, N., Ferdaña, Z.A., Finlayson, M., Halpern, B.S., Jorge, M.A., Lombana, A., Lourie, S.A., 2007. Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. Bioscience 57, 573-583.
- 5tamatakis, A., 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22, 2688-2690.
- 779 Stiller, J.W., Waaland, J.R., 1993. Molecular analysis reveals cryptic diversity in *Porphyra* (Rhodophyta). J. Phycol. 29, 506-517.
- Sun, Z., Hanyuda, T., Lim, P.-E., Tanaka, J., Gurgel, C.F.D., Kawai, H., 2012.
 Taxonomic revision of the genus *Lobophora* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae)
 based on morphological evidence and analyses *rbc*L and *cox*3 gene sequences. Phycologia 51, 500-512.

- Sweetlove, L., 2011. Number of species on Earth tagged at 8.7 million. Nature News [online].
- 787 Tronholm, A., Leliaert, F., Sansón, M., Afonso-Carrillo, J., Tyberghein, L., 788 Verbruggen, H., De Clerck, O., 2012. Contrasting geographical 789 distributions as a result of thermal tolerance and long-distance dispersal 790 in two allegedly widespread tropical brown algae. PLoS One 7, e30813.
- Vermeij, G.J., Grosberg, R.K., 2010. The great divergence: when did diversity on land exceed that in the sea? Integr. Comp. Biol. 50, 675-682.

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802 803

804

805

806

807

815

- Vieira, C., Camacho, O., Wynne, M.J., Mattio, L., Anderson, R., Bolton, J.J., Sansón, M., D'Hondt, S., Leliaert, F., Fredericq, S., Payri, C., De Clerck, O., 2016. Shedding new light on old algae: matching names and sequences in the brown algal genus *Lobophora* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae). Taxon 65, 689-707.
 - Vieira, C., D'hondt, S., De Clerck, O., Payri, C.E., 2014. Toward an inordinate fondness for stars, beetles and *Lobophora*? Species diversity of the genus *Lobophora* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) in New Caledonia. J. Phycol. 50, 1101-1119.
- Vieira, C., Payri, C., De Clerck, O., 2015. Overgrowth and killing of corals by the brown alga *Lobophora hederacea* (Dictyotales, Phaeophyceae) on healthy reefs in New Caledonia: a new case of the epizoism syndrome. Phycol. Res. 63, 152-153.
- Williams, S.T., Duda Jr, T.F., 2008. Did tectonic activity stimulate Oligo-Miocene speciation in the Indo-West Pacific? Evolution 62, 1618-1634.
- Zhang, J., Kapli, P., Pavlidis, P., Stamatakis, A., 2013. A general species delimitation method with applications to phylogenetic placements. Bioinformatics 29, 2869-2876.
- Zuccarello, G.C., West, J.A., 2003. Multiple cryptic species: molecular diversity and reproductive isolation in the *Bostrychia radicans/B. moritziana* complex (Rhodomelaceae, Rhodophyta) with focus on North American isolates. J. Phycol. 39, 948-959.

Table 1. Number of estimated species and resultant percentage of species discovered. The number of species is estimated with the species-richness estimators (ICE, Chao 2 and Jack 1) and with the extrapolation (mean and lower and upper 95% confidence interval). The percentage of species discovered based on the number of estimated species and the number of discovered species identified.

	Richness estimators			Extrapolation			
	ICE	Chao 2	Jack 1	Lower 95%	Mean	Upper 95%	
No. of species(1)	209	185	179	140	188	235	
Low DS (%)(2)	47	53	55	70	52	42	
Mean DS (%)(3)	52	59	61	78	58	46	
Upper DS (%)(3)	58	65	68	86	64	51	

⁽¹⁾ Number of estimated species. Percentage of discovered species considering the mean and the lower ((2) 98) and upper ((4) 121) 95% confidence interval number of species identified with the GMYC model based on *cox*3. DS: described species.

Table 2. Lobophora species diversity per marine region.

	Species # (%)
Tropical	109 (81)
Temperate	15 (11)
Tropico-temperate	10 (7)
Pacific	102 (87)
Atlantic	15 (10)
Pacific-Atlantic	4 (3)
Indo-Australian Archipelago	60 (39)
Western Indo-Pacific	36 (23)
Central Pacific	19 (12)
Eastern Pacific	4 (3)
Atlantic	15 (10)
Central Indo-Pacific	57 (31)
Western Indo-Pacific	35 (19)
Eastern Indo-Pacific	19 (10)
Temperate Australasia	6 (3)
Temperate Northern Pacific	2(1)
Tropical Eastern Pacific	4 (2)
Temperate Southern Africa	1(1)
Tropical Atlantic	14 (8)
Temperate Northern Atlantic	7 (4)

Table 3. Comparison of the fit of the dispersal-extinction-cladogenesis (DEC), dispersal-vicariance analysis (DIVA) and BayArea biogeographical reconstruction models, all with the possibility of founder-event speciation ('+J'). The log-likelihood (lnL) of each model is given for the analyses. For each geographical subdivision, the best model is indicated in bold. ¹9 realms: Central Indo-Pacific, Western Indo-Pacific, Eastern Indo-Pacific, Temperate Australasia, Temperate Northern Pacific, Tropical Eastern Pacific, Temperate Southern Africa, Tropical Atlantic, Temperate Northern Atlantic. ² 5 regions: Indo-Australian Archipelago, Western Indo-Pacific, Central Pacific, Eastern Pacific, Atlantic. ³ 3 regions: Atlantic, Pacific, Indian Ocean. ⁴ 2 basins: Atlantic, Indo-Pacific.

	9 realms ¹	5 regions ²	3 regions ³	2 basins ⁴	Temp-Trop
DEC	-316.5	-248.0	-69.8	-50.2	-46.3
DEC+J	-298.1	-219.9	-63.3	-46.4	-46.3
DIVA Like	-324.8	-248.3	-64.4	-46.7	-51.1
DIVA Like + J	-309.1	-226.8	-62.6	-45.9	-51.1
BayArea Like	-339.9	-280.6	-97.9	-72.8	-53.5
BayArea Like + J	-313.8	-231.3	-66.6	-50.1	-53.3

Table S1. Outgroups used to root the *Lobophora* tree brown algal tree with their GenBank accession number.

	Species	cox3	rbcL	psbA	
--	---------	------	------	------	--

Canistrocarpus cervicornis	LN871906	-	LN831806
Choristocarpus tenellus	-	AB899285	AB899261
Cladostephus spongiosus	-	FN667651	-
Cutleria multifida	LC074884	AB776782	AB543588
Desmarestia ligulata	EU681444	AJ287848	EU681637
Discosporangium mesarthrocarpum	-	AB252654	AB899262
Ectocarpus siliculosus	AB526435	AY307410	FP102296
Fucus vesiculosus	AY494079	DQ307680	DQ307679
Ishige okamurae	FJ427586	AY372975	AY528830
Laminaria digitata	AJ344328	AY372984	AY528849
Phaeothamnion confervicola	-	AF064746	HQ710732
Schizocladia schiensis	-	AB085615	AY528859
Sphacelaria divaricata	-	AJ287889	AY528855
Syringoderma phinneyi	EU681467	AJ287868	AY528858
Undaria pinnatifida	GQ368282	GQ368325	GQ368354

Table S2. Similarity matrix of *Lobophora* the species composition in 9 marine realms calculated with the Sørensen index.

	CIP	WIP	EIP	Tau	TNP	TEP	TSA	TAtl	TNA
Central Indo-Pacific (CIP)	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Western Indo-Pacific (WIP)	0.20	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eastern Indo-Pacific (EIP)	0.16	0.04	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Temperate Australasia (TAu)	0.13	0.05	0.08	1	-	-	-	-	-
Temperate Northern Pacific (TNP)	0.03	0.00	0.10	0.00	1	-	-	-	-
Tropical Eastern Pacific (TEP)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	1	-	-	-
Temperate Southern Africa (TSA)	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1	-	-
Tropical Atlantic (TAtl)	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.2	0.00	1	-
Temperate Northern Atlantic (TNA)	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.25	0.92	1

840 Figure caption

841 Figure 1 (A) Lobophora global distribution range based on DNA confirmed samples 842 (black circles) and literature records (white circles). Pictures of (B) L. undulata 843 thallus, (C) L. rosacea growing at the basis of branching Acropora corals in New 844 Caledonia, (D) L. obscura growing on dead corals in New Caledonia, and (E) L. 845 canariensis growing on bedrock in the Canary Islands. 846 Figure 2 Results of the three species delimitation methods based on the cox3 data set. 847 Species delimitation results of GMYC (inner), ABGD (middle), and PTP (outer) are represented by three concentric circles. The tree is the maximum clade credibility tree 848 849 obtained from BEAST. Only the terminal part of the tree, used for species delineation, 850 is represented. In the center, a Venn diagram illustrating overlap (consensus) between 851 the PTP, GMYC and ABGD species delimitation results. 852 Figure 3 Observed richness (Sobs) in Lobophora species. (A) Comparison between 853 four marine regions: Indo-Pacific (square), Atlantic (triangle), Temperate Australasia 854 (circle), Tropical Eastern Pacific (diamond). (B) Comparison between multiple spatial 855 scales: local (New Caledonia, diamond), sub-regional (Central Indo-Pacific, circle), 856 regional (Indo-Pacific, triangle), and global (square). 857 Figure 4 Chronogram resulting from the Bayesian relaxed clock analysis with 858 BEAST 1.8.2. The purple bars display the 95% HDP (highest probability density). 859 Lineage-through-time (LTT) plot of *Lobophora* based on the chronogram presented in 860 Fig. 4, with the 95% confidence intervals. The red vertical lines display the 861 emergence of major marine barriers: Terminal Tethyan event (ca. -18 Ma), the 862 Isthmus of Panama (ca. 3 Ma), Benguela upwelling formation (ca. 1-2 Ma). The blue 863 line displays sea-level variation based on Miller et al. (2005).

Figure 5 Ancestral ranges of Lobophora species, estimated under a DEC+J model with BioGeoBears based on the BEAST tree (Fig. 4). Boxes at the tips indicate extant Lobophora species geographic area(s). Colored branches indicate regions of maximal probability. Ancestral area reconstructions are shown by pie diagrams at each node. World map (inset lower left) shows the 5 marine realms: Central Indo-Pacific (A), Western Indo-Pacific (B), Eastern Indo-Pacific (C), Tropical Eastern Pacific (D), Atlantic (E). World map (inset middle left) depicts Late Cretaceous continental configuration with the hypothetical center of origin of *Lobophora* (ABE). Figure 6 Sympatry and founder events across the five marine realms. The five marine realms are represented by anotated colored circles: Central Indo-Pacific (A), Western Indo-Pacific (B), Eastern Indo-Pacific (C), Tropical Eastern Pacific (D), Atlantic (E). Numbers within squircles indicate the total number of species per realm. Numbers adjacent to the curved arrows indicate the number of founder events from one realm to another. Numbers within circle arrows indicate the number of sympatric events within a realm. Numbers at the intersection between circles represent the number of species shared between these two realms.

880

881

882

883

884

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

Figure S1 *Lobophora* species richness estimation by extrapolation of the rarefaction curve with 95% confidence interval. Continuous black line represents the observed species richness and the black dashed line represents the estimated diversity by extrapolation. The grayed out area represents the 95% confidence interval.

885

886

887

888

Figure S2 Estimated richness based on the non-parametric richness estimator Chao 2 in *Lobophora* species. A. Comparison between four marine regions: Indo-Pacific (square), Atlantic (triangle), Temperate Australasia (circle), Tropical Eastern Pacific

(diamond). B. Comparison between multiple spatial scales: local (New Caledonia, diamond), sub-regional (Central Indo-Pacific, circle), regional (Indo-Pacific, triangle), and global (square).

Figure S3 *Lobophora* species tree. The tree is the maximum clade credibility tree obtained from a BEAST analysis of the concatenated alignment of three genes (*rbcL*, *cox3* and *psbA*). The colored hemispheres shown at each node represent Bayesian posterior probabilities (left part of the circle) and ML bootstrap values (right part of the circle) respectively. High support (posterior probabilities >0.95 and bootstrap values >0.9) is indicated in black, while low support (posterior probabilities <0.95 and bootstrap values <0.9) is indicated in gray. No color indicates configuration incongruence between the Bayesian and the maximum likelihood trees.

Figure S4 The geographic locations of sister species belonging to the *Lobophora obscura* complex (each branch was identified as a unique species). The phylogenetic relationships between the species are given by the phylogenetic tree resulting from the Bayesian Inference analysis.

Figure S5 Relative contribution of vicariance, sympatry and founder events to *Lobophora* diversity at the marine realms level. The number of species per region are given above each bar.























