

On the ultimate energy bound of solutions to some forced second order evolution equations with a general nonlinear damping operator

Alain Haraux

▶ To cite this version:

Alain Haraux. On the ultimate energy bound of solutions to some forced second order evolution equations with a general nonlinear damping operator. 2017. hal-01577067

HAL Id: hal-01577067 https://hal.sorbonne-universite.fr/hal-01577067

Preprint submitted on 24 Aug 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

On the ultimate energy bound of solutions to some forced second order evolution equations with a general nonlinear damping operator.

Alain Haraux

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06 & CNRS UMR 7598, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions 4, place Jussieu 75005, Paris, France e-mail: haraux@ann.jussieu.fr

Abstract

Under suitable growth and coercivity conditions on the nonlinear damping operator g which ensure non-resonance, we estimate the ultimate bound of the energy of the general solution to the equation $\ddot{u}(t) + Au(t) + g(\dot{u}(t)) = h(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where A is a positive selfadjoint operator on a Hilbert space H and h is a bounded forcing term with values in H. In general the bound is of the form $C(1 + ||h||^4)$ where ||h|| stands for the L^{∞} norm of h with values in H and the growth of g does not seem to play any role. If g behaves lie a power for large values of the velocity, the ultimate bound has a quadratic growth with respect to ||h|| and this result is optimal. If h is anti periodic, we obtain a much lower growth bound and again the result is shown to be optimal even for scalar ODEs.

AMS classification numbers: 34A34, 34D20, 35B40, 35L10, 35L90

Keywords: Second order equation, nonlinear damping, energy bound, anti-periodic.

1 Introduction.

In this paper we investigate a specific quantitative aspect of solutions to the equation

$$\ddot{u}(t) + Au(t) + g(\dot{u}(t)) = h(t)$$

where V is a real Hilbert space, $A \in L(V, V')$ is a symmetric, positive, coercive operator, $q \in C(V, V')$ is monotone and h is a forcing term. This equation has been intensively studied in the Literature when g is a local damping term, covering the following topics: existence of almost periodic solutions, asymptotic behavior of the general solution, rate of decay to 0 of the difference of two solutions in the energy space in the best cases, cf.e.g. [2, 15, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 12, 11, 14, 16]. In the more recent paper [1], a very general result generalizing the theorems of [11] on boundedness and compactness has been proved for possibly nonlocal damping terms. However when looking at the arguments of [1] and [11] and trying to extract an estimate of the solutions for t large, we find immediately that the methodology is not adapted to that purpose. The present article aims at improving the situation. Actually we devise a new technique which allows to "forget" the influence of the initial data from the very beginning of the estimates, thus dropping all unnecessary terms related to transient behavior. The plan of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the basic tools used in the statements and proofs of the main results. Section 3 is devoted to a very general case. Section 4 covers a still rather general case where the damping operator behaves like a power for large values of the velocity, this for instance allows to encompass any polynomial map, and we give a short list of examples in the field of PDEs of the second order in t for which our result is optimal. In section 5 we establish two partial results when the forcing is anti-periodic, a situation which is known (cf. e.g. [13]) to prevent resonance under weaker conditions on g than the general periodic case. We obtain a better estimate which is optimal in finite dimensions, but in the infinite dimensional we can only slightly improve the general estimate and we do not reach what one might expect to be the optimal result.

2 Functional framework and the initial value problem.

In this section, we recall the exact functional framework that shall be used in the formulation as well as in the proofs of our new results. We follow the presentation from [1] at the exception of a small difference for the approximation of weak solutions.

2.1 Monotone operators

Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space endowed with an inner product $(.,.)_{\mathcal{H}}$. We recall that a map \mathcal{A} defined on a subset $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ with values in \mathcal{H} is monotone if

$$\forall (U, \hat{U}) \in \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}, \quad (\mathcal{A}U - \mathcal{A}\hat{U}, U - \hat{U})_{\mathcal{H}} \ge 0.$$

In addition A is called maximal monotone if

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \exists U \in D(\mathcal{A}) \quad \mathcal{A}\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}.$$

The following result is well-known (cf. H. Brezis [5]) .

Proposition 2.1. If \mathcal{A} is maximal monotone, for each T > 0, each $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $F = F(t) \in W^{1,1}(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ there is a unique function $U \in W^{1,1}(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ with $U(t) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ for almost all $t \in (0,T)$, $U(0) = U_0$ and such that for almost all $t \in (0,T)$

$$U'(t) + \mathcal{A}U(t) = F(t). \tag{2.1}$$

In addition if for some $\hat{U}_0 \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $\hat{H} \in W^{1,1}(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ we consider the solution $\hat{U} \in W^{1,1}(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ with $\hat{U}(t) \in D(\mathcal{A})$) for almost all $t \in (0,T)$, $\hat{U}(0) = \hat{U}_0$ of

$$\hat{U}'(t) + \mathcal{A}\hat{U}(t) = \hat{F}(t),$$

then the difference satisfies the inequality

$$\forall t \in [0,T], \quad |U(t) - \hat{U}(t)| \le |U_0 - \hat{U}_0| + \int_0^t |F(s) - \hat{F}(s)| ds.$$

This proposition allows one to define by density, for any $U_0 \in \overline{D(A)}$ and $F = F(t) \in L^1(0,T;\mathcal{H})$ a weak solution of (2.1) such that $U(0) = U_0$, cf. H. Brezis [5].

2.2 Functional setting

Throughout this article we let H and V be two Hilbert spaces with norms respectively denoted by $\|.\|$ and |.|. We assume that V is densely and continuously embedded into H. Identifying H with its dual H', we obtain $V \hookrightarrow H = H' \hookrightarrow V'$. We denote inner products by (.,.) and duality products by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$; the spaces in question will be specified by subscripts. The notation $\langle f, u \rangle$ without any subscript will be used sometimes to denote $\langle f, u \rangle_{V',V}$. The duality map: $V \to V'$ will be denoted by A. We observe that A is characterized by the property

$$\forall (u, v) \in V \times V, \quad \langle Au, v \rangle_{V', V} = (u, v)_V.$$

2.3 Weak solutions

We consider the dissipative evolution equation:

$$\ddot{u} + Au + g(\dot{u}) = h(t) \tag{2.2}$$

where $g \in C(V, V')$ satisfies

$$\forall (v, w) \in V \times V, \quad \langle g(v) - g(w), v - w \rangle \ge 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We consider the (generally unbounded) operator \mathcal{A} defined on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = V \times H$ by

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{(u, v) \in V \times V, Au + g(v) \in H\}$$

and

$$\forall (u, v) \in D(\mathcal{A}), \quad \mathcal{A}(u, v) = (-v, Au + g(v)).$$

Lemma 2.2. The operator A is maximal monotone.

Proof. Let U = (u, v) and $\hat{U} = (\hat{u}, \hat{v})$ be two elements of $D(\mathcal{A})$. We have

$$(\mathcal{A}U - \mathcal{A}\hat{U}, U - \hat{U})_{\mathcal{H}} = -(u - \hat{u}, v - \hat{v})_{V} + (Au + g(v) - A\hat{u} - g(\hat{v}), v - \hat{v})_{H}$$

$$-(u-\hat{u},v-\hat{v})_V + \langle Au + g(v) - A\hat{u} - g(\hat{v}), v - \hat{v} \rangle_{V',V}$$

since $Au + g(v) \in H$ and $A\hat{u} + g(\hat{v}) \in H$ while v, \hat{v} are in V. This reduces to

$$(\mathcal{A}U - \mathcal{A}\hat{U}, U - \hat{U})_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle g(v) - g(\hat{v}), v - \hat{v} \rangle_{V',V} \ge 0$$

Hence \mathcal{A} is monotone. To prove that \mathcal{A} is maximal monotone we are left to show that for any $F = (\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}$ the following equation

$$u - v = \varphi$$
, $Au + g(v) + v = \psi$

has a solution $U=(u,v)\in D(\mathcal{A})$. This is equivalent to finding a solution $v\in V$ of

$$Av + g(v) + v = \psi - A\varphi \in V'$$

But now the operator $C \in C(V, V')$ defined by

$$\forall v \in V, \quad \mathcal{C}v = Av + g(v) + v$$

is continuous and coercive: $V \to V'$. Therefore by Corollary 14 p. 126 from H. Brezis [6], \mathcal{C} is surjective. Finally \mathcal{A} is maximal monotone as claimed.

As a consequence of Proposition 2.1, for any $h \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ and for each $(u_0, u_1) \in V \times H$ there is a unique weak solution

$$u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$$

of (2.2) such that $u(0) = u_0$ and $\dot{u}(0) = u_1$. This solution can be recovered on each compact interval [0, T] by approximating the initial data by elements of the domain, the forcing term h by C^1 functions and passing to the limit: the limit is independent of the approximating elements so chosen. The next result shows that in fact the approximation can even be made uniform on \mathbb{R}^+ .

2.4 Density of strong solutions

Lemma 2.3. For any $h \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ and for each $(u_0, u_1) \in V \times H$ and for each $\delta > 0$ there exists $(w_0, w_1) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ for which the solution $w \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, V) \cap W^{2,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ of

$$\ddot{w} + Aw + g(\dot{w}) = k(t); \quad w(0) = w_0, \ \dot{w}(0) = w_1$$

satisfies

$$\forall t > 0, \quad ||u(t) - w(t)|| + |\dot{u}(t) - \dot{w}(t)| < \delta$$

and in addition

$$\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \int_t^{t+1} |k(s) - h(s)|^2 ds \le 2\delta.$$

Proof. It suffices to use the last result of Proposition 2.1 by observing that for any $h \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ we can find $k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \int_{n}^{n+1} |k(s) - h(s)|^2 ds \le \delta 2^{-2n-2}.$$

Choosing $(w_0, w_1) \in D(\mathcal{A})$ such that $||w_0 - u_0|| + |w_1 - v_1| \le \delta 2^{-1}$ the result follows immediately

3 A general ultimate bound.

In this section we give a quite different proof, in a slightly more general case, of a result stated in [10], Remark 1.2, b) p. 167. We assume that $h \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ with

$$S^{2}(R^{+}, H) = \{ f \in L^{2}_{loc}(R^{+}, H), \quad \sup_{t \in R^{+}} \int_{t}^{t+1} |f(s)|^{2} ds < \infty \}$$

and we set

$$||h||_{S^2(\mathbb{R}^+,H)} = \{\sup_{t \in R^+} \int_t^{t+1} |h(s)|^2 ds\}^{1/2}$$

In particular if $h \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, then $h \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ and $||h||_{S^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)} \leq ||h||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)}$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that $g \in C(V, V')$ satisfies the condition (2.3) and

$$\exists \gamma > 0, \ \exists C_1 \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \langle g(v), v \rangle \ge \gamma |v|^2 - C_1.$$
 (3.1)

$$\exists K > 0, \ \exists C_2 \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \|g(v)\|_{V'} \le C_2 + K\langle g(v), v \rangle. \tag{3.2}$$

Then any solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ of (2.2) is bounded on \mathbb{R}^+ in the sense that u has bounded range in V and \dot{u} has bounded range in H. In addition we have for some constant K depending only on A and g

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} (|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + ||u(t)||^2) \le K(1 + ||h||_{S^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)}^4)$$

Proof. The boundedness result is known for local damping operators g, cf. the second case of Theorem IV.2.1.1 of [11] and in the general case it can be proved by adapting in this case the method from [1]. However even in the local case these results cannot provide a reasonable estimate of the ultimate bound. We start by an estimate in the case of a strong solutions, i.e. we assume $u \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+,V) \cap W^{2,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+,H)$. The general case will follow by density. Let

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2}(|\dot{u}|^2 + ||u||^2)$$

Under the regularity conditions $[u_0, v_0] \in V \times V$, $\gamma(0, v_0) \in H$ and $h \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$, $t \to E(t)$ is absolutely continuous and we have $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = (h, \dot{u}) - \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

In addition $t \to (u(t), \dot{u}(t))$ is absolutely continuous and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), \dot{u}(t)) = |\dot{u}|^2 - ||u||^2 - \langle g(\dot{u}), u \rangle + (h, u).$$

By using (3.2), we obtain:

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), \dot{u}(t)) \le |\dot{u}|^2 - ||u||^2 + ||u|| \{P|h| + C_2 + K\langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u}\rangle\}$$
(3.4)

with

$$P = \sup\{|u|, \ u \in V, \ \|u\| = 1\}.$$

Introducing

$$\Phi(t) = 2E(t), \quad \forall t > 0,$$

we are reduced to estimate the upper limit bound for $\Phi(t)$. Let us introduce

$$M = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \Phi(t)$$

and let us consider a sequence of times t_n tending to infinity for which

$$\Phi(t_n) > M - 1/n$$

In addition for n large enough we have $t_n \geq \tau$ and

$$\Phi(t_n - \tau) \le M + 1/n$$

where τ is any fixed positive number to be chosen later. Therefore by integrating (3.3) on $[t_n - \tau, t_n]$ we find

$$\int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle dt \le 1/n + \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} (h, \dot{u}) dt \le 1/n + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |\dot{u}|^2 dt + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt$$

As a consequence of (3.1) we deduce

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle dt \le 2/n + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt + C_3$$
(3.5)

and

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |\dot{u}|^2 dt \le C_4/n + C_5 \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt + C_6$$
(3.6)

which provides an average bound of the kinetic part independent of the initial data and the transient behavior. This is remarkable since we only used the properties $\Phi(t_n) \geq M - 1/n$ and $\Phi(t_n - \tau) \leq M + 1/n$ to express the fact that t is large. By combining these two estimates we also find an estimate of the form

$$|\Phi(t) - \Phi(s)| \le C_7 (1 + \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt)$$
 (3.7)

valid for all s, t in $[t_n - \tau, t_n]$. As a consequence if we had an L^1 estimate of the total energy instead of the kinetic part the proof would be completed with exponent 2 instead of 4. The difficulty in fact comes from the potential energy. From (3.4), by integrating on $[t_n - \tau, t_n]$ we find

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} ||u||^2 dt \le \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |\dot{u}|^2 dt + \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} [||u|| \{P|h| + C_2 + K\langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u}\rangle\}] dt + |[(u(t), \dot{u}(t))]_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n}|$$

Recalling the notation $M = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \Phi(t)$ we find

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} ||u||^2 dt \le \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |\dot{u}|^2 dt + M^{1/2} \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} [\{P|h| + C_2 + K\langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u}\rangle\}] dt + C_8 M$$
 (3.8)

where C_8 does not depend on τ . Combining (3.6) and (3.8) we obtain

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} \Phi(t)dt \le C_5 \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt + C_9 + M^{1/2} \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} [\{P|h| + C_2 + K\langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u}\rangle\}] dt + C_8 M$$
 (3.9)

and by (3.5) this implies

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} \Phi(t)dt \le C_{10}(1 + \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt)(1 + M^{1/2}) + C_8 M$$
(3.10)

Finally by combining this last inequality with (3.7) we end up with

$$(\tau - C_8)M \le C_{11}(1 + \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt)(1 + M^{1/2})$$
(3.11)

Fixing $\tau \geq 1 + C_8$, the result now follows easily since

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt \le (1+\tau)||h||_{S^2}^2$$

The general case of weak solutions follows easily by density relying on Lemma 2.3.

Remark 3.2. This ultimate bound has been obtained under the most general known assumption ensuring boundedness of trajectories. It seems not to depend on the kind of damping operator as long as the coerciveness and growth conditions are satisfied. We have absolutely no idea whether it has a chance to be optimal in some cases. A more natural quadratic estimate is valid in many cases as we shall see in the next section.

4 The case of a power-like damping term

For the main result of this section, we need to introduce an additional Banach space Z such that

$$V \subset Z \subset H$$

with continuous imbeddings. The norm in Z of a vector $z \in Z$ will be denoted by $||z||_Z$.

4.1 Main result

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $g \in C(V, V')$ satisfies the condition (2.3) and for some $\alpha \geq 0$ we have

$$\exists \gamma > 0, \ \exists C_1 \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \langle g(v), v \rangle \ge \gamma ||v||_Z^{\alpha + 2} - C_1. \tag{4.1}$$

$$\exists K > 0, \ \exists C_2 \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \|g(v)\|_{V'} \le C_2 + K||v||_Z^{\alpha+1}.$$
 (4.2)

Then any solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ of (2.2) is bounded on \mathbb{R}^+ in the sense that u has bounded range in V and \dot{u} has bounded range in H. In addition we have for some constant K depending only on A and g

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} (|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + ||u(t)||^2) \le K(1 + ||h||_{S^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)}^2)$$

Proof. We start as in the proof of Theorem 3.1: by integrating (3.3) on $[t_n - \tau, t_n]$ we find

$$\int_{t_{n}-\tau}^{t_{n}} \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle dt \leq 1/n + \int_{t_{n}-\tau}^{t_{n}} (h, \dot{u}) dt \leq 1/n + \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{t_{n}-\tau}^{t_{n}} ||\dot{u}||_{Z}^{\alpha+2} dt + C(\gamma) \int_{t_{n}-\tau}^{t_{n}} |h|^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}} dt$$

As a consequence of (4.1) we deduce, since $n \ge 1$,

$$\int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle dt \le C(\gamma, \tau) \left[\int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt \right]^{\frac{\alpha + 2}{2\alpha + 2}} + C_3 \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |\dot{u}|^2 dt \le C_4 + C_5(\gamma, \tau) \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.3) we also deduce the important new estimate

$$\int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} ||\dot{u}||_Z^{\alpha + 1} dt \le C_6 + C_7(\gamma, \tau) \{ \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt \}^{1/2}$$
(4.5)

and by (4.2) this implies

$$\int_{t_{n-\tau}}^{t_{n}} ||g(\dot{u})||_{V'} dt \le C_8 + C_9(\gamma, \tau) \{ \int_{t_{n-\tau}}^{t_{n}} |h|^2 dt \}^{1/2}$$
(4.6)

Recalling the notation $M = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \Phi(t)$ we now find

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} ||u||^2 dt \le \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |\dot{u}|^2 dt + C_9(\gamma,\tau) M^{1/2} \{ \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt \}^{1/2} + C_{10} M + C_{11}$$
(4.7)

where C_{10} does not depend on τ . Then by using Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} \|u\|^2 dt \le C_{12}(\gamma,\tau) \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt + (C_{10}+1)M + C_{11}$$
(4.8)

By choosing τ large enough we obtain, as a consequence of (4.8) and (4.4), the inequality

$$\int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} \Phi(t)dt \le C_{12}(\gamma) \int_{t_n-\tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt + C_{13}$$
(4.9)

We conclude by using

$$|\Phi(t) - \Phi(s)| \le C_{14}(\gamma)(1 + \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} |h|^2 dt)$$
 (4.10)

which is valid for all s, t in $[t_n - \tau, t_n]$ and follows easily from 4.3 and 4.4.

Remark 4.2. This result is optimal. For instance if we consider an eigenvector φ of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$, then for each k > 0, $k\varphi$ is a stationary solution of the equation with source term $h(t) \equiv k\lambda\varphi$ for any dissipative operator g. This shows that the ultimate bound of the energy is at least quadratic with respect to the size of the source term.

4.2 Examples

In this section, Ω denotes a bounded open domain of \mathbb{R}^N with C^2 boundary and $\alpha \geq 0, \ c > 0$. We consider four simple special cases

Example 1: The wave equation with local damping

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + c|u_t|^{\alpha} u_t - \Delta u = h(t, x), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(4.11)

Here $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$, $H = L^2(\Omega)$ and $Z = L^{\alpha+2}(\Omega)$. We assume $(N-2)\alpha \leq 2$.

Example 2: The wave equation with nonlinear averaged damping

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} + c \left[\int_{\Omega} u_t^2(t, x) dx \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_t - \Delta u = h(t, x), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(4.12)

Here $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H = L^2(\Omega) = Z$.

Example 3: A clamped plate equation with nonlinear structural averaged damping

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - c \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Delta u_t + \Delta^2 u = h(t, x), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ u = |\nabla u| = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(4.13)

Here $V = H_0^2(\Omega), H = L^2(\Omega)$ and $Z = H_0^1(\Omega)$

Example 4: A simply supported plate equation with nonlinear structural averaged damping

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - c \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_t|^2 dx \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Delta u_t + \Delta^2 u = h(t, x), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega, \\ u = \Delta u = 0, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(4.14)

Here $V = H^2 \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, $H = L^2(\Omega)$ and $Z = H_0^1(\Omega)$.

As a consequence of Theorem 4.1 we obtain immediately

Corollary 4.3. In all the four examples, Let $h \in S^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$. Then any solution $u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, V) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, H)$ of (2.2) is bounded on \mathbb{R}^+ in the sense that u has bounded range in V and u has bounded range in U. In addition we have for some constant U independent of U and the initial data

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} (|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + ||u(t)||^2) \le K(1 + ||h||_{S^2(\mathbb{R}^+, H)}^2)$$

Remark 4.4. In [1], for the 4 previous examples, the authors proved the existence of a unique almost periodic solution when h is an S^2 - almost periodic source. In this case (in particular if h is periodic) the ultimate bound coincides with the supremum of the energy of the almost periodic solution. Actually, if we try to estimate directly the periodic solution, some boundary (in time) term disappear but the main part of the estimate is not much simpler. In addition we know that the estimate is essentially optimal, only the multiplicative constants might be worked out if one wants a more precise inequality.

5 Partial results in the anti-periodic case.

If g is odd and h is τ -anti periodic, i.e. if we have

$$h(t+\tau) = -h(t)$$

the interesting solutions are the anti-periodic ones, cf.e.g. [13] for existence results. Since such solutions have mean-value 0, the solution can be estimated through its time-derivative, and because the estimate of the derivative is generally much better, we can expect an improvement on the energy bound.

This idea is perfectly valid if H is finite-dimensional, since then u and \dot{u} belong to the same space, but otherwise we have a problem to reach the norm of u in V. At the present time we do not know what happens if dim $H = \infty$. For the time being we can only prove the following partial results.

Proposition 5.1. Assume that V = H, $h \in C(\mathbb{R}, H)$ is τ -anti periodic, that $g \in C(H, H)$ satisfies the condition (2.3) and for some $\alpha \geq 0$ we have

$$\exists \gamma > 0, \ \exists C_1 \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in H, \quad \langle g(v), v \rangle \ge \gamma |v|^{\alpha + 2} - C_1.$$
 (5.1)

$$\exists K > 0, \ \exists C_2 \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in H, \quad |g(v)| \le C_2 + K|v|^{\alpha + 1}.$$
 (5.2)

Then any τ -antiperiodic solution u of (2.2) is such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + |u(t)|^2) \le C(1 + ||h||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, H)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha+1}})$$

where C is independent of h.

Proof. The starting point is the same as for the proof of Theorem 4.1. From the inequality

$$\int_{0}^{2\tau} \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle dt \le C(\gamma, \tau) \left[\int_{0}^{2\tau} |h|^{2} dt \right]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2\alpha+2}} + C_{3}$$
 (5.3)

we deduce the more precise estimate

$$\int_{0}^{2\tau} |\dot{u}|^{2} dt \le C_{4} + C_{5}(\gamma, \tau) \left[\int_{0}^{2\tau} |h|^{2} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}$$
(5.4)

which implies, since u has mean-value 0,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,2\tau]} |u(t)|^2 \le C(1 + ||h||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},H)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha+2}})$$
(5.5)

To obtain the uniform bound on \dot{u} , the trick now consists in evaluating the maximum of $\Phi(t) = |\dot{u}|^2 + |A^{1/2}u|^2$. At a maximum point θ the derivative vanishes, which gives

$$\langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle = (h, \dot{u})$$

hence

$$|\dot{u}(\theta)|^2 \le C'(1+|h|^{\frac{2}{\alpha+1}})$$

This implies

$$\max_{t \in [0,2\tau]} \Phi(t) = \Phi(\theta) \le C''(1 + ||h||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R},H)}^{\frac{2}{\alpha+1}}))$$

and the conclusion follows immediately.

Remark 5.2. This result is optimal. For instance if we consider an eigenvector φ of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda > 0$, then for each k > 0, $u_k(t) = k \cos(\lambda^{1/2} t) \varphi$ is a solution of the equation

$$\ddot{u} + Au + g(\dot{u}) = g(-k\lambda^{1/2}\sin(\lambda^{1/2}t)\varphi) =: h(t)$$

and the L^{∞} norm of the source term is less than a constant times $k^{\alpha+1}$ for k large. Both u and h are anti-periodic.

We have a weaker result (intermediate between Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.1) which is also valid in the infinite dimensional setting and can be stated as follows:

Proposition 5.3. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied with (4.2) reinforced into

$$\exists K > 0, \ \exists C_2 \ge 0 \quad \forall v \in V, \quad \|g(v)\|_{Z'} \le C_2 + K||v||_Z^{\alpha+1}.$$
 (5.6)

Then any τ -antiperiodic solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, V) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}, H)$ of (2.2) is such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} (|\dot{u}(t)|^2 + ||u(t)||^2) \le C(1 + ||h||_{L^2([0,\tau],H)}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}})$$

where C is independent of h.

Proof. The starting point is the same as for the proof of Theorem 4.1. From the inequality

$$\int_{0}^{2\tau} \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle dt \le C_3 \left[1 + \int_{0}^{2\tau} |h|^2 dt \right]^{\frac{\alpha+2}{2\alpha+2}}$$
 (5.7)

we deduce the estimate

$$\int_0^{2\tau} |\dot{u}|^2 dt \le C_4 \left[1 + \left\{ \int_0^{2\tau} |h|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} \right]$$
 (5.8)

but also

$$\int_0^{2\tau} ||\dot{u}||_Z^{\alpha+1} dt \le C_5 \left[1 + \left\{ \int_0^{2\tau} |h|^2 dt \right\}^{1/2} \right]$$
 (5.9)

and by (5.6) this implies

$$\int_{0}^{2\tau} ||g(\dot{u})||_{Z'} dt \le C_6 \left[1 + \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\tau} |h|^2 dt \right\}^{1/2} \right]$$
 (5.10)

From (5.9), since u has mean-value 0, we deduce

$$\sup_{t \in [0,2\tau]} \|u(t)\|_{Z} \le C_7 (1 + ||h||_{L^2([0,2\tau],H)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}})$$
(5.11)

The two last inequalities imply immediately

$$\left| \int_{0}^{2\tau} \langle g(\dot{u}), u \rangle dt \right| \leq C_8 (1 + \left| |h| \right|_{L^2([0, 2\tau], H)}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}})$$

Now, multiplying the equation by u and integrating on the period we find easily after combining with (5.8)

$$\int_{0}^{2\tau} \Phi(t)dt \le C_9(1+||h||_{L^2([0,2\tau],H)}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}})$$

with $\Phi(t) = |\dot{u}|^2 + ||u||^2$. Since

$$\Phi'(t) = (h, \dot{u}) - \langle g(\dot{u}), \dot{u} \rangle$$

by 2τ - periodicity and the inequality $\Phi' \leq |h||\dot{u}| + C_1$, we find as a consequence of (5.8)

$$\Phi(t) \le \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \Phi(s)ds + C_{10}(1 + ||h||_{L^{2}([0,2\tau],H)}^{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}})$$

and the conclusion follows easily by using τ – antiperiodicity.

Remark 5.4. This result is certainly not optimal but it is all we can prove for the moment even in the most basic examples. Our result requires additional regularity on u, this is usually achieved by assuming some regularity on h. When g is monotone, usually the anti-periodic solution is unique and depends continuously on h in L^2 , so that the estimate will be easy to transfer to the general case in the examples. This is important since we cannot derive strong estimates on solutions which are not anti-periodic and therefore approximation by strong solutions has to be performed within the anti-periodic class.

References

- [1] F. Aloui, I. Ben Hassen and A. Haraux, Compactness of trajectories to some nonlinear second order evolution equations and applications, J. Math. Pures et Appl. 100, No.3 (2013), 295–326
- [2] L. Amerio & G. Prouse, Uniqueness and almost-periodicity theorems for a non linear wave equation, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 8, 46 (1969) 1–8.
- [3] M. Biroli, Bounded or almost periodic solution of the non linear vibrating membrane equation, Ricerche Mat. 22 (1973), 190–202.
- [4] M. Biroli, & A. Haraux, Asymptotic behavior for an almost periodic, strongly dissipative wave equation, J. Differential Equations 38 (1980), no. 3, 422–440.
- [5] H. Brezis, Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 5, Amsterdam-London (1973), 183 p.
- [6] H. Brezis, Equations et inéquations non linéaires dans les espaces vectoriels en dualité, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 18 (1968) fasc. 1, 115–175.
- [7] A. Haraux, Nonlinear evolution equations- Global behavior of solutions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 841, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1981), 313 pp.
- [8] A. Haraux, Dissipativity in the sense of Levinson for a class of second-order nonlinear evolution equations, Nonlinear Anal. 6 (1982), no. 11, 1207–1220.
- [9] A. Haraux, Damping out of transient states for some semi-linear, quasi-autonomous systems of hyperbolic type, Rc. Accad. Naz. Sci. dei 40 (Memorie di Matematica) 101 7, fasc.7 (1983), 89–136.
- [10] A. Haraux, Two remarks on hyperbolic dissipative problems, Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. College de France seminar, Vol. VII (Paris, 1983–1984), 6, 161–179, Res. Notes in Math., 122, Pitman, Boston, MA, 1985.
- [11] A. Haraux, Semi-linear hyperbolic problems in bounded domains, Mathematical reports Vol 3, Part 1 (1987), J. Dieudonné Editor, Harwood Academic Publishers, Gordon & Breach.
- [12] A. Haraux, Systèmes Dynamiques Dissipatifs et Applications, R.M.A.17 Masson, Paris (1991).
- [13] A. Haraux, Anti-periodic solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations, Manuscripta Math. **63** (1989), no. 4, 479–505.

- [14] A. Haraux, E. Zuazua, Decay estimates for some semilinear damped hyperbolic problems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 100 (1988), 191–206
- [15] G. Prouse, Soluzioni quasi-periodiche dell'equazione non omogenea delle onde, con termine dissipativo non lineare. I.-IV, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 8, 38–39 (1965)
- [16] E. Zuazua, Stability and decay for a class of nonlinear hyperbolic problems, Asymptotic Anal. 1 (1988), no. 2, 161–185.